



Article

Ellipsometric Study on the Uniformity of AI:ZnO Thin Films Deposited Using DC Sputtering at Room Temperature over Large Areas

Cecilia Guillén and Juan Francisco Trigo

Special Issue
Preparation and Application of Conductive Materials

Edited by Dr. Grzegorz Tytko, Dr. Naoya Kasai and Prof. Dr. Yong Li





https://doi.org/10.3390/ma16206644





Article Ellipsometric Study on the Uniformity of Al:ZnO Thin Films Deposited Using DC Sputtering at Room Temperature over Large Areas

Cecilia Guillén * D and Juan Francisco Trigo D

Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Avda. Complutense 40, 28040 Madrid, Spain; juanfrancisco.trigo@ciemat.es

* Correspondence: c.guillen@ciemat.es

Abstract: Al-doped ZnO combines high transparency and conductivity with abundant and nontoxic elements; making it suitable for optoelectronic devices with large-scale applications. In order to check the quality of the material deposited over large areas, spectroscopic ellipsometry is a powerful technique that allows the determination of various optical and electrical parameters by applying suitable oscillator models. This technique is used here to obtain sheet resistance and visible transmittance data at several equidistant points of Al:ZnO thin films deposited using DC sputtering on 15 cm \times 15 cm glass substrates. Independent measurements using other optical (spectrophotometry) and electrical (four point probe) methods show analogous visible transmittance but somewhat higher resistance values than those obtained with ellipsometry, which is explained by the contribution of grain-boundary scattering compared to in-grain properties provided using ellipsometry. However, the mapping of the data gives a similar spatial distribution to the different types of measurement; therefore, proving the capacity of ellipsometry to study with a single tool the uniformity of the optical and electrical characteristics of large areas.

Keywords: metal oxides; thin films; optical transmittance; electrical resistance

1. Introduction

ZnO is a transparent conductive oxide (TCO), showing high optical transmittance in the visible range and low electrical resistivity in its native structure [1]. The increment in n-type conductivity is relatively easy to achieve using excess Zn or doping with group-III elements (Al, Ga, and In) as Zn substituents [2]. Aluminum doping has a particular interest, because it results in a highly conductive material (Al:ZnO or AZO) constituted of abundant and non-toxic elements, making a suitable TCO alternative for diverse optoelectronic devices with large-scale deployment, such as in tunable color filters [3], smart windows [4] and photovoltaic solar cells [5]. Typically, the above applications demand a visible transmittance of $T_V = 80-90\%$ and a sheet resistance of $R_s = 10-30 \Omega/sq$ [6], with a figure of merit defined as [7] $\varphi = T_V^{10}/R_s$, which is used to compare different TCOs.

AZO thin films have been prepared using various chemical and physical techniques: electrodeposition [2], sol-gel [8], spray pyrolysis [9], evaporation [10], sputtering [11], etc. More concretely, direct current (DC) magnetron sputtering can produce transparent and conductive AZO layers at room temperature [11] on heat-sensitive substrates [12], while most of the other techniques require high substrate temperature [9,10] or thermal post-treatment above 300 °C [2,8] to achieve a good-quality material. Previous works have shown the influence of process parameters on the characteristics of AZO thin films prepared using DC sputtering on unheated glass substrates [13,14].

Another important technical challenge is to achieve high uniformity of the AZO characteristics over a large area. Typical characterization requires profilometry to determine



Citation: Guillén, C.; Trigo, J.F. Ellipsometric Study on the Uniformity of Al:ZnO Thin Films Deposited Using DC Sputtering at Room Temperature over Large Areas. *Materials* 2023, *16*, 6644. https:// doi.org/10.3390/ma16206644

Academic Editors: Naoya Kasai, Grzegorz Tytko and Yong Li

Received: 22 September 2023 Revised: 5 October 2023 Accepted: 9 October 2023 Published: 11 October 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). surface roughness and film thickness; spectrophotometry to determine optical transmittance; and four point probe (FPP) electrical measurements to obtain sheet resistance [13]. Spectroscopic ellipsometry is another characterization technique that has been used to map the film thickness on different substrate areas [11,15], also allowing the simultaneous determination of several optical and electrical parameters by applying suitable oscillator models [16].

In this work, AZO thin films have been deposited using DC sputtering on unheated glass with a 15 cm \times 15 cm area, and their uniformity has been analyzed with variable-angle spectroscopic ellipsometry performed on several points throughout the glass substrate. The optical constants (n, k) and electrical parameters (free carrier concentration, mobility) have been accurately obtained using a combination of the Drude oscillator model and Bruggeman effective medium approximations, including the simulated substrate and a rough top layer in the optical model, as reported by other authors [4,15]. The ellipsometric data maps are in good agreement with the analogous maps, made with visible transmittances determined using spectrophotometry and sheet resistances given by FPP electrical measurements. Therefore, we show the potential of using ellipsometry and proposed optical models to map the optical and electrical characteristics (and thus the figure of merit) of AZO coatings for large-area applications.

2. Materials and Methods

AZO thin films were prepared on 15 cm × 15 cm × 2 mm soda lime glasses (SLG) with DC magnetron sputtering at room temperature, using a homemade vacuum deposition system. The substrate was placed in a vertical stainless steel frame and moved in front of a rectangular target (45 cm high, 13 cm wide, 6 mm thick) consisting of 98 wt% ZnO and 2 wt% Al₂O₃. After the chamber was evacuated below 1×10^{-4} Pa, high purity Ar and O₂ were introduced until they reached a process pressure of 4×10^{-1} Pa. Then, a DC power was applied to the target (set to 1.7 W/cm²) for 7 min to obtain a film thickness of 0.80 ± 0.04 µm, as was measured after deposition with a Dektak 3030 profilometer (Veeco, Herzogenrath, Germany). These preparation parameters were selected according to previous works [12,13], in order to obtain AZO layers with the desired visible transmittance (T_V~85%) and sheet resistance ($R_s \sim 20 \Omega/sq$).

Optical transmittance was measured with unpolarized light at normal incidence, using the spectrophotometer Avantes AS-5216 (Avantes, Apeldoorn, The Netherlands). Sheet resistance was obtained with a Signatone FPP head, combined with a Keithley 2450 (Keithley Instruments, Germering, Germany) Source-Measurement Unit. These optical and electrical data are compared with the information extracted from a Semilab SE-2000 Spectrocopic Ellipsometer [17] (Semilab Inc., Prielle Kornéli, Hungary). To ensure uniformity, all the measurements were performed at several points placed at 3 cm from each other on the 15 cm \times 15 cm sample area.

The ellipsometric parameters (Ψ , Δ), defined as the ratio of the reflection coefficients for p- and s-polarizations [16], $R_p/R_s = \tan(\Psi)\exp(i\Delta)$, were acquired in the wavelength range $\lambda = 300-2100$ nm at three incident angles $\Phi_0 = 55$, 60 and 65°. In order to extract useful information, the structure of the sample and the corresponding optical dispersions must be modeled, whilst taking into account that the ellipsometric model must distinguish the glass substrate from the AZO features, and AZO depth heterogeneities can be considered by introducing different sublayers in the simulation [18]. The model supposes a parallel multilayer structure consisting of homogeneous, isotropic phases represented by their respective thickness d_j and complex refractive index $N_j = n_j - ik_j$, with n_j as the real part of the refractive index and k_j the extinction coefficient. During the simulation, all theoretically calculated spectra (R_p/R_s)_{cal} originate from the measured ratios (R_p/R_s)_{meas}, which depend on the incident angle Φ_0 , the photon energy $E = hc/\lambda$, and implicitly on the characteristics of the phases used in the model: $tan(\psi)exp(i\Delta) = f(\Phi_0, E, n_j, k_j, d_j)$. The model parameters are thus obtained by minimizing the error function [19]:

$$G = \sum_{\Phi_0 = 55,60,65^o} \left\{ \left(R_p / R_s \right)_{meas} - \left(R_p / R_s \right)_{cal} \right\}^2 \tag{1}$$

The quality of the fit is obtained using the coefficient of determination (r^2) [17], which measures the percentage of variance in the dependent variables that the independent variables explain collectively:

$$r^{2} = \frac{Variance \ explained \ by \ the \ model}{Total \ variance}$$
(2)

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 1 shows the ellipsometric parameters (Ψ, Δ) acquired at three incident angles of 55, 60 and 65° on a point of the sample. These angles gave a high R_s/R_p ratio, below the Brewster angle at which R_p reaches zero [20]. Previously, we had measured and modeled the bare SLG substrate to obtain suitable n_{SLG} and k_{SLG} values to feed into the simulation of the SLG/AZO system. Next, the AZO characteristics were modeled, assuming there was a compact layer and rough top layer, with a combination of the Drude oscillator model (for the AZO compact layer) [15] and the Bruggeman effective medium approximation (for the rough layer, considered as a 50/50 vol% mixture of AZO and void) [18]. The coefficient of determination for this two-layer model was $r^2 = 91\%$. Subsequently, the same experimental data were simulated assuming some depth heterogeneity of the AZO characteristics, with a first compact layer close to the substrate (AZO1), a second compact layer (AZO2) and the rough top layer (AZO2 + void), which gave a better $r^2 = 96\%$. Although a somewhat higher coefficient of determination could be achieved with a four-layer model ($r^2 = 98\%$), the results do not make physical sense due to abnormally high conductivity values ($\sigma > 10^6$ S/cm) at some points. Therefore, the three-layer model is considered optimum. It should be noted that, the simulated spectra start at $\lambda = 400$ nm because these models do not take into account the fundamental absorption that occurs at wavelengths below the semiconductor bandgap, which is located around 350 nm [13].



Figure 1. Ellipsometric data measured (symbols) and fitted (lines) for three incidence angles (Φ_0) at one point of the SLG/AZO sample. The dashed lines correspond to the two-layer model and the solid lines to the three-layer model.

The optical parameters derived from the three-layer model are illustrated in Figure 2. It can be seen that the refractive index is above 1.7 and the extinction coefficient is below 0.02 in the visible region ($\lambda = 400-800$ nm), as reported for other AZO thin films [16,21].

Both n and k vary slowly up to $\lambda = 1200$ nm, but at larger wavelengths n decreases and correspondingly k increases sharply. The increment of k in the near infrared indicates the onset of reflection from the free carrier plasma [21], where the material enters into a metallic-like regime. The higher values of n and k obtained for AZO1, with respect to AZO2, indicate that the material initially grows with a better quality (denser and more conductive) in the region closest to the glass substrate, lowering somewhat its density and conductivity when the deposition time increases.



Figure 2. Optical parameters obtained from the data in Figure 1 simulated with the three-layer model.

The Drude oscillator is used to describe the electrical conduction of free carriers in semiconductor materials [22]. From the analysis of the ellipsometric data, the dimensionless real and imaginary parts of the dielectric function corresponding to each conductive phase (*j*) are formulated as follows [3,17]:

$$\varepsilon_{j,1}(E) = n_j^2(E) - k_j^2(E) = -\frac{\left(E_{j,P}/E\right)^2}{1 + \left(E_{j,\Gamma}/E\right)^2}$$
(3)

$$\varepsilon_{j,2}(E) = 2n_j(E)k_j(E) = \frac{E_{\Gamma}}{E} \frac{\left(E_{j,P}/E\right)^2}{1 + \left(E_{j,\Gamma}/E\right)^2}$$
(4)

where E_P (eV units) and E_{Γ} (eV units) are the plasma energy and the broadening, which is in connection with the scattering frequency.

The electrical conductivity, free carrier concentration and mobility are obtained using:

$$\sigma_j = \frac{\varepsilon_0 E_{j,P}^2}{\hbar E_{i,\Gamma}} \tag{5}$$

$$N_j = \frac{m_j^* \varepsilon_0 E_{j,P}^2}{\hbar^2 e^2} \tag{6}$$

$$\mu_j = \frac{\hbar e}{m_j^* E_{j,\Gamma}} \tag{7}$$

where ε_0 (55.263 × 10⁶ e/Vm) is the free-space permittivity, \hbar (6.582 × 10⁻¹⁶ eV s) is the reduced Planck constant, *e* is the electron charge and *m*^{*} denotes the scalar effective mass of carriers, which is assumed to be 0.25 *m*_e (*m*_e = 0.511 × 10⁶ eV/c² the electron rest mass)

for AZO according to the literature [23]. Furthermore, taking the simulated layer thickness for each point (d_i) , the sheet resistance (Ω units) is calculated as follows:

$$R_{i,S} = 1/\left(\sigma_i d_i\right) \tag{8}$$

The evolution of conductivity and mobility with the carrier concentration is represented in Figure 3, which shows the data obtained for the two conductive phases (AZO1 and AZO2, in the three-layer model) at various points in the sample. Despite the considerable dispersion of values, it is observed that mobility seems to be proportional to $N^{-2/3}$, and the conductivity proportional to $N^{1/3}$, which corresponds to scattering by ionized impurities [24]. This is reasonable considering that optical measurements essentially provide in-grain properties, and that scattering by ionized impurities is an intrinsic property of the material. Otherwise, electrical methods include inter-grain properties measuring only the free carriers that can overcome the potential barrier at grain boundaries [23].



Figure 3. Electrical conductivity (σ), carrier concentration (N) and mobility (μ) values obtained for the two conductive phases (AZO1 and AZO2 in the three-layer model) at several equidistant points in the 15 cm × 15 cm sample area.

For each measured point in the sample, the ellipsometric fit for the three-layer model gives the thickness values that are plotted in Figure 4 as a function of the respective total thickness. The figure includes a contour map of the total thickness on the 15 cm \times 15 cm sample area. The thickness variation is of 6%, in the same order as reported for other TCOs on lower substrate areas [15,18]. The map shows that the total thickness is greater at the upper and lower edges, due to a greater thickness of AZO2 and especially of the top layer (AZO2 + void), which denotes an increase in surface roughness. Such increase in thickness and roughness occurs at the edges of the sample that are attached to the substrate-holder frame. It may be due to some reflection of the sputtering plasma on the metallic frame, because the reflected particles reach the substrate with a lower energy, which reduces their diffusion during film growth [25]. Otherwise, the lateral edges of the sample remain free of the frame and show better homogeneity.



Figure 4. Thickness values provided using the three-layer model at sixteen equidistant points marked on the 15 cm \times 15 cm sample area. The contour map represents the total thickness at each point.

Taking the conductivity and thickness data determined by the optical dispersion model, the overall sheet resistance is calculated assuming the parallel connection of the conductive phases [18]:

$$R_{ov} = (d_1\sigma_1 + d_2\sigma_2 + 0.5d_3\sigma_2)^{-1}$$
(9)

It is mapped in Figure 5, as is the sheet resistance acquired using FPP electrical measurements (R_{el}) at the different points of the sample. Higher resistances are observed at the horizontal edges, in relation to the greater thickness of AZO2 and the top layer (AZO2 + void), which have a lower conductivity than AZO1, based on the data in Figure 3. The top layer thickness represents the surface roughness, and it is known that increased surface roughness contributes to increased resistivity [26].



6 Figure 5. Controur map of the sheet resistance values obtained using optical simulations (R_{op}) and referring the measurements (R_{el}) on the 15 cm \times 15 cm sample at (R_{op})

At the same sample point, the values of R_{op} and R_{el} differ due to a discrepancy in the conductivities determined using optical or electrical measurements. Figure 6 shows these data ($\sigma_{op} = R_{op}/d_{total}$ and $\sigma_{el} = R_{el}/d_{total}$) as a function of the total film thickness. It is noted that both conductivities tend to decrease as the film thickness increases, which is related to the increase in roughness (i.e., the AZO2 + void thickness) evidenced in Figure 4. The electrical conductivity is around 650 S/cm, according to previous studies performed on AZO thin films without heating [12,13], but values above 900 S/cm are obtained optically. Such a discrepancy is usually found in the literature, due to the effect of grain boundaries. Electrical measurements give the number of free carriers that can overcome the potential barrier at the grain boundaries, but optical measurements even include the carriers with

lower energy than the potential barrier at the grain boundaries. Therefore, the free carrier concentration and mobility determined optically are often somewhat higher than those given with electrical methods [22,27]. The ratios calculated in Figure 6 (σ_{op}/σ_{el} ~1.6) are consistent with those found by other authors, indicating a similar ratio of R_{DL}~1.6 [22], which is defined as the relation between the resistance to electron transport inside the lattice (1/ μ_L) and the resistance to electron transport due to defects such as grain boundaries and neutral impurities (1/ μ_D). This is because the change in conductivity is mainly related to the carrier mobility [22,28], so σ_{op}/σ_{el} ~R_{DL} = μ_L/μ_D .



Figure 6. Conductivity values determined using optical simulations (σ_{op} , square_s) and electrical measurements (σ_{el} , circles) at several equidistant points in the 15 cm × 15 cm sample area, plotted as a function of the respective total thickness. The black arrow indicates the right y-axis for the ratio (triangles).

Regarding optical transmittance, the global spectrum at each sample point has been simulated (T_s) [29] and compared with the corresponding spectrum measured using spectrophotometry (T_m), as illustrated in Figure 7. For long wavelengths ($\lambda > 1400$ nm), the difference is practically zero (T_s = T_m), as expected when using the Drude oscillator model, which optimally reproduces the behavior of the material in the zone of optical absorption by free carriers [22]. In the visible region (around $\lambda = 600$ nm), the simulated transmittance is somewhat lower than that obtained with spectrophotometry (T_s < T_m), but in any case both increase or decrease proportionally when moving from one point to another on the sample.

For each sample point, the AZO visible transmittance (both simulated T_{Vs} and measured T_{Vm}) has been calculated as the average value in the range $\lambda = 400-800$ nm from the respective SLG/AZO spectra and discounting the SLG substrate. These values are mapped in Figure 8, where it can be seen that higher visible transmittances are obtained at the upper and lower edges of the sample, related to a greater thickness of AZO2 and the top layer (AZO2 + void) in Figure 4, and corresponding also with higher resistivities in Figure 5. This shows that the increase in roughness (i.e., the AZO2 + void thickness) contributes to an increase in both electrical resistivity and visible transmittance [6].



Figure 7. Transmittance spectra simulated using the three-layer model (T_s) and measured with spectrophotometry (T_m) at point 8 (x = 13, y = 6) and point 16 (x = 13, y = 13), on the SLG/AZO sample of 15 cm × 15 cm area. The measured transmittance for the bare SLG substrate is included for comparison.





Figure 9. Contour map of the figure of merit obtained using simulation with the three-layer model (φ_s) and from independent optical and electrical measurements (φ_m) .

4. Conclusions

Ellipsometric data acquired for AZO thin films deposited using DC sputtering on SLG substrates show an optimal fit to a three-layer model consisting of a first compact layer that is close to the substrate (AZO1), a second compact layer (AZO2) and a rough top layer (AZO2 + void). The values obtained using optical simulation for the conductivity (σ_{op}), free carrier concentration and mobility indicate scattering by ionized impurities, being somewhat higher than the electrical conductivity (σ_{el}) determined using four point probe measurements. This corroborates that optical measurements provide in-grain properties, and the ratio σ_{op}/σ_{el} ~1.6 obtained at different points of the sample gives the relation between the resistance to electron transport inside the lattice and the resistance to electron transport due to grain boundaries and neutral impurities.

The maps of sheet resistance and visible transmittance performed on the 15 cm × 15 cm sample area show the same spatial distribution whether they are obtained from ellipsometry or from independent spectrophotometry and electrical measurements. Slightly higher R_s and T_V values are observed at the horizontal edges that are attached to the substrate-holder frame, corresponding to a greater thickness of AZO2 and of the top layer (AZO2 + void) at these edges. In any case, the variation in thickness is only 6% over the whole area and the figure of merit (T_V^{10}/R_s) is always above 0.010 Ω^{-1} , proving that high-quality AZO thin films are obtained using DC sputtering at room temperature on large areas.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, C.G.; formal analysis, C.G. and J.F.T.; methodology, C.G. and J.F.T.; software, J.F.T.; validation, C.G. and J.F.T.; visualization, C.G.; writing—original draft preparation, C.G.; writing—review and editing, C.G. and J.F.T. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received partial funding through MEDIDA C17.I2G: CIEMAT. Nuevas tecnologías renovables híbridas, Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Componente 17 "Reforma Institucional y Fortalecimiento de las Capacidades del Sistema Nacional de Ciencia e Innovación". Medidas del plan de inversiones y reformas para la recuperación económica funded by the European Union–NextGenerationEU.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data available on request.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

References

- 1. Benhaliliba, M. ZnO a multifunctional material: Physical properties, spectroscopic ellipsometry and surface examination. *Optik* **2021**, 241, 167197. [CrossRef]
- 2. Papadimitriou, D.N. Engineering of optical and electrical properties of electrodeposited highly doped Al:ZnO and In:ZnO for cost-effective photovoltaic device technology. *Micromachines* **2022**, *13*, 1966. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Kim, I.; Yun, J.; Badloe, T.; Park, H.; Seo, T.; Yang, Y.; Kim, J.; Chung, Y.; Rho, J. Structural color switching with a doped indium-gallium-zinc-oxide semiconductor. *Photonics Res.* **2020**, *8*, 1409. [CrossRef]
- Jin, W.; Park, K.; Cho, J.Y.; Bae, S.H.; Siyar, M.; Jang, H.; Park, C. Thermochromic properties of ZnO/VO₂/ZnO films on soda lime silicate glass deposited by RF magnetron sputtering. *Ceram. Int.* 2023, 49, 10437–10444. [CrossRef]
- Niemelä, J.P.; Macco, B.; Barraud, L.; Descoeudres, A.; Badel, N.; Despeisse, M.; Christmann, G.; Nicolay, S.; Ballif, C.; Kessels, W.M.M.; et al. Rear-emitter silicon heterojunction solar cells with atomic layer deposited ZnO:Al serving as an alternative transparent conducting oxide to In₂O₃:Sn. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells* 2019, 200, 109953. [CrossRef]
- 6. Sarma, B.; Barman, D.; Sarma, B.K. AZO (Al:ZnO) thin films with high figure of merit as stable indium free transparent conducting oxide. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2019, 479, 786–795. [CrossRef]
- 7. Haacke, G. New figure of merit for transparent conductors. J. Appl. Phys. 1976, 47, 4086–4089. [CrossRef]
- 8. Sharmin, A.; Tabassum, S.; Bashar, M.S.; Mahmood, Z.H. Depositions and characterization of sol-gel processed Al-doped ZnO (AZO) as transparent conducting oxide (TCO) for solar cell application. *J. Theor. Appl. Phys.* **2019**, *13*, 123–132. [CrossRef]
- 9. Kumar, A.; Ahmad, M.I. Role of defects in the electronic properties of Al doped ZnO films deposited by spray pyrolysis. *J. Mater. Sci.* 2022, *57*, 7877–7895. [CrossRef]
- 10. Cui, J.; Sun, S.; Lan, M.; Liu, S.; Piao, Y.; Li, G.; Wang, Q. Effect of low-dimensional carbon composite on the thermoelectric properties of vacuum evaporated ZnO:Al films. *Thin Solid Film.* **2023**, *766*, 139641. [CrossRef]
- Badgujar, A.C.; Yadav, B.S.; Jha, G.K.; Dhage, S.R. Room temperature sputtered aluminum-doped ZnO thin film transparent electrode for application in solar cells and for low-band-gap optoelectronic devices. ACS Omega 2022, 7, 14203–14210. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Guillén, C.; Herrero, J. Structure, optical and electrical properties of Al:ZnO thin films deposited by DC sputtering at room temperature on glass and plastic substrates. *Phys. Status Solidi* **2009**, *206*, 1531–1536. [CrossRef]
- 13. Guillén, C.; Herrero, J. Optical, electrical and structural characteristics of Al:ZnO thin films with various thicknesses deposited by DC sputtering at room temperature and annealed in air or vacuum. *Vacuum* **2010**, *84*, 924–929. [CrossRef]
- 14. Guillén, C.; Herrero, J. Structural and plasmonic characteristics of sputtered SnO₂:Sb and ZnO:Al thin films as a function of their thickness. *J. Mater. Sci.* 2016, *51*, 7276–7285. [CrossRef]
- 15. Bohórquez, C.; Bakkali, H.; Delgado, J.J.; Blanco, E.; Herrera, M.; Domínguez, M. Spectroscopic ellipsometry study on tuning the electrical and optical properties of Zr-doped ZnO thin films grown by atomic layer deposition. *ACS Appl. Electron. Mater.* **2022**, *4*, 925–935. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Gâlcă, A.C.; Secu, M.; Vlad, A.; Pedarnig, J.D. Optical properties of zinc oxide thin films doped with aluminum and lithium. *Thin Solid Film.* **2010**, *518*, 4603–4606. [CrossRef]
- Makai, L.; Lehto, T.; Fodor, B.; King, P. Breakthrough instruments and products: Investigation of atomic layer deposited Al:ZnO layer by spectroscopic ellipsometry from the deep-UV to the mid-IR in one instrument. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 2021, *92*, 119501. [CrossRef]
- 18. Sago, Y.; Fujiwara, H. Mapping characterization of SnO₂:F transparent conductive oxide layers by ellipsometry technique. *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **2012**, *51*, 10NB01. [CrossRef]
- 19. Hisashi Yoshikawa, H.Y.; Sadao Adachi, S.A. Optical Constants of ZnO. Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 1997, 36, 6237. [CrossRef]
- 20. Jenkins, T.E. Multiple-angle-of-incidence ellipsometry. J. Phys. D Appl. Phys. 1999, 32, R45–R56. [CrossRef]
- 21. Sun, L.; Grant, J.T.; Jones, J.G.; Murphy, N.R. Tailoring electrical and optical properties of Al-doped ZnO thin films grown at room temperature by reactive magnetron co-sputtering: From band gap to near infrared. *Opt. Mater.* **2018**, *84*, 146–157. [CrossRef]
- 22. Kim, J.S.; Jeong, J.-H.; Park, J.K.; Baik, Y.J.; Kim, I.H.; Seong, T.-Y.; Kim, W.M. Optical analysis of doped ZnO thin films using nonparabolic conduction-band parameters. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2012**, *111*, 123507. [CrossRef]
- 23. Kim, W.M.; Kim, I.H.; Ko, J.H.; Cheong, B.; Lee, T.S.; Lee, K.S.; Kim, D.; Seong, T.-Y. Density-of-state effective mass and non-parabolicity parameter of impurity doped ZnO thin films. *J. Phys. D Appl. Phys.* **2008**, *41*, 195409. [CrossRef]
- 24. Minami, T.; Sato, H.; Nanto, H.; Takata, S. Group III impurity doped zinc oxide thin films prepared by RF magnetron sputtering. *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys.* **1985**, 24, L781. [CrossRef]
- 25. Detor, A.J.; Hodge, A.M.; Chason, E.; Wang, Y.; Xu, H.; Conyers, M.; Nikroo, A.; Hamza, A. Stress and microstructure evolution in thick sputtered films. *Acta Mater.* **2009**, *57*, 2055–2065. [CrossRef]
- Sarma, B.K.; Rajkumar, P. Al-doped ZnO transparent conducting oxide with appealing electro-optical properties—Realization of indium free transparent conductors from sputtering targets with varying dopant concentrations. *Mater. Today Commun.* 2020, 23, 100870. [CrossRef]
- 27. Brehme, S.; Fenske, F.; Fuhs, W.; Nebauer, E.; Poschenrieder, M.; Selle, B.; Sieber, I. Free-carrier plasma resonance effects and electron transport in reactively sputtered degenerate ZnO:Al films. *Thin Solid Film.* **1999**, *342*, 167–173. [CrossRef]
- Akagawa, M.; Fujiwara, H. Optical characterization of textured SnO₂:F layers using spectroscopic ellipsometry. *J. Appl. Phys.* 2012, 112, 83507. [CrossRef]

- 29. Guillén, C.; Trigo, J.F. Optical absorption enhancement in ultrathin chalcopyrite solar cells with Ag reflective back contact. *Opt. Mater.* **2022**, *133*, 113041. [CrossRef]
- 30. Aouaj, M.A.; Diaz, R.; Belayachi, A.; Rueda, F.; Abd-Lefdil, M. Comparative study of ITO and FTO thin films grown by spray pyrolysis. *Mater. Res. Bull.* **2009**, *44*, 1458–1461. [CrossRef]
- 31. Anandhi, R.; Ravichandran, K.; Mohan, R. Conductivity enhancement of ZnO:F thin films by the deposition of SnO₂:F over layers for optoelectronic applications. *Mater. Sci. Eng. B Solid-State Mater. Adv. Technol.* **2013**, *178*, 65–70. [CrossRef]
- Koo, B.R.; Ahn, H.J. Structural, electrical, and optical properties of Sb-doped SnO₂ transparent conductive oxides fabricated using an electrospray technique. *Ceram. Int.* 2014, 40, 4375–4381. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.