

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Solar Energy 78 (2005) 616-622



www.elsevier.com/locate/solener

# Oxygen-releasing step of $ZnFe_2O_4/(ZnO + Fe_3O_4)$ -system in air using concentrated solar energy for solar hydrogen production

Yutaka Tamaura \*, Hiroshi Kaneko

Research Center for Carbon Recycling and Energy, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 Ookayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152 8552, Japan

Received 12 May 2003; received in revised form 12 April 2004; accepted 15 October 2004 Available online 14 March 2005 Communicated by: Associate Editor A.T. Raissi

# Abstract

The oxygen-releasing step of the ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/(ZnO + Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>)-system for solar hydrogen production with two-step water splitting using concentrated solar energy was studied under the air-flow condition by irradiation with concentrated Xe lamp beams from a solar simulator. The spinel-type compound of ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (Zn-ferrite) releases O<sub>2</sub> gas under the air-flow condition at 1800 K and then decomposes into Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (= Fe<sup>II</sup>Fe<sup>III</sup><sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) and ZnO with a nearly 100% yield (ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> = ZnO + 2/3Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> + 1/6O<sub>2</sub>). The ZnO was deposited as the thin layer on the surface of the reaction cell wall. A thermodynamic study showed that the ZnO was produced by the reaction between the O<sub>2</sub> gas in the air and the metal Zn vapor generated from ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. With the combined process of the present study on the O<sub>2</sub>-releasing step and the previous one on the H<sub>2</sub> generation step (ZnO + 2/3Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> + 1/3H<sub>2</sub>O = ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> + 1/3H<sub>2</sub>) for the ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/(ZnO + Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>)-system, solar H<sub>2</sub> production was demonstrated by one cycle of the ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/(ZnO + Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>)-system, where the O<sub>2</sub>-releasing step had been carried out in air at 1800 K and the H<sub>2</sub> generation step at 1100 K. © 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Solar hydrogen production; Oxygen-releasing reaction; Water splitting; ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>

# 1. Introduction

Solar fuels, which are produced by solar/chemical energy conversion systems, can be stored until required and transported to any consuming site (Steinfeld, 1995; Steinfeld and Fletcher, 1991). Water can be directly split using solar process heat via direct thermal dissociation above 2500 K (Fletcher and Moen, 1977; Kogan, 1998). However, the gaseous products need to be separated at high temperature to avoid recombination or otherwise obtaining an explosive mixture (Kogan et al., 2000). To solve these problems, two-step watersplitting reactions ( $O_2$ -releasing step and  $H_2$  generation step) using metal oxides have been suggested (Nakamura, 1977). The reactions can be represented as follows:

$$MO_{ox} + thermal energy = MO_{red} + 1/2O_2(g)$$
 (1)

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +81 3 5734 3292; fax: +81 3 5734 3436.

*E-mail address:* ytamaura@chem.titech.ac.jp (Y. Tamaura).

<sup>0038-092</sup>X/\$ - see front matter @ 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.solener.2004.10.012

and

$$MO_{red} = H_2O(g) = MO_{ox} = H_2(g)$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where  $MO_{ox}$  and  $MO_{red}$  denote the oxidized and reduced states of the metal oxide, respectively.

Current research has reported the two-step thermochemical water-splitting cycle using the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/FeO (Nakamura, 1977) or ZnO/Zn (Fletcher, 1999; Palumbo et al., 1998; Weidenkaff et al., 1999) redox system. When their O<sub>2</sub>-releasing step (reduction step) is operated in air or at  $p_{O_2} = 0.1$  MPa, it requires operating temperatures above 2300 K (Sibieude et al., 1982; Tofighi and Sibiaude, 1984). Also, the reduction efficiency is limited because the O<sub>2</sub>-releasing reaction should be quenched by rapid cooling of the products (reduced metal and  $O_2$ ) which results in heat loss (Bamberger, 1978; Tofighi and Sibieude, 1980; Kuhn et al., 1992; Kaneko et al., 2002). To be energy efficient during the  $O_2$ -releasing step, one should try to operate the one (which, at best, is equivalent to a Carnot cycle) at the highest possible temperature. However, the higher the temperature, the greater the radiation losses (Fletcher, 1983; Steinfeld and Schubnell, 1993). It was demonstrated that the optimum operating temperatures vary from 800 to 1500 K for a blackbody cavity-receiver under a gaussian incident solar-flux distribution with peak concentrations between 1000 and 12,000 suns (Tofighi and Sibiaude, 1984). Thus, lowering the temperature during the O2-releasing step to below 1500 K is important for the practical production of solar H<sub>2</sub>. From thermodynamic data, the O<sub>2</sub>-releasing reaction with the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/FeO or ZnO/Zn redox system proceeds at 1673 K under a  $p_{O_2}$  of  $10^{-7}$  MPa (Weidenkaff et al., 2000). This low O<sub>2</sub> partial pressure indicates that the production yield of  $O_2$  (reaction yield) is very low and that this reaction should be carried out in the reactor closed to air with inert gas flow.

Lundberg evaluated the redox pairs Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/MnO and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/CoO (Lundberg, 1993), which can be thermally reduced in air at 1810 K and 1175 K, respectively. However, they have a very low potential for the H<sub>2</sub> generation reaction with water. Their H<sub>2</sub> yield was too low to be of any economic interest. The present authors have studied the (Ni, Mn) ferrite system, whose O<sub>2</sub>-releasing step works at around 1000 K in the inert gas flow of Ar, and experimentally demonstrated the two-step water splitting with the (Ni, Mn) ferrite using a solar furnace at around 1000 K (Tamaura et al., 1995; Kojima et al., 1996). The cation-excess (Ni, Mn) ferrite, which is formed from the (Ni, Mn) ferrite in the O<sub>2</sub>-releasing step at >1173 K (in Ar flow condition), splits water to generate  $H_2$  gas at <1073 K. However, the  $H_2$  gas volume evolved in this system is quite low, since the water splitting is caused by the small magnitude of the nonstoichiometry in the ferrite. This system still has the disadvantage of using an inert gas with a  $p_{\Omega_2} <$  $10^{-7}$  MPa.

This paper describes the  $O_2$ -releasing step of the  $ZnFe_2O_4/(ZnO + Fe_3O_4)$ -system under air-flow conditions at 1800 K for solar hydrogen production with two-step water splitting (Eq. (3)).

$$ZnFe_2O_4 = ZnO + 2/3Fe_3O_4 + 1/6O_2$$
 (in air) (3)

The H<sub>2</sub> generation step of the  $ZnFe_2O_4/(ZnO + Fe_3O_4)$ system have already been studied and reported elsewhere (Tamaura et al., 2002; Uehara et al., 2001), in which the H<sub>2</sub> generation reaction readily proceeds at around 1000 K with nearly a 100% yield according to the reaction

$$H_2O + 3ZnO + 2Fe_3O_4 = H_2 + 3ZnFe_2O_4$$
 (4)

From Eqs. (3) and (4), the solar  $H_2$  can be generated by the two-step water-splitting process with the  $ZnFe_2O_4/(ZnO + Fe_3O_4)$ -system if the  $O_2$ -releasing step is operated in air at 1800 K. This will also be demonstrated in the present study.

#### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Materials

The Zn-ferrite powder (ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) was kindly donated by Toda Kogyo Co. The XRD measurement showed that the Zn-ferrite powder was the spinel-type ferrite of ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> with the lattice constant  $a_0 =$ 0.8440, which is nearly equal to that already reported ( $a_0 = 0.84411$  nm; JCPDS card #22-1012).

#### 2.2. Oxygen-releasing reaction

The O<sub>2</sub>-releasing reaction was carried out under flowing air conditions. The experimental set-up of the O<sub>2</sub> release is schematically shown in Fig. 1. The ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> powder (18 mg) mounted on the Pt–Rh boat was placed in the reaction cell of a quartz tube ( $\emptyset$ 28 mm × L300 mm), and air was passed through the reaction cell at the flow rate of 200 ml/min. The temperature of the sample was raised to a specified value in the range of 1600–1900 K by irradiation of double Xe beams (Ushiopex 5 kW×2) as a simulated solar beam. The



Fig. 1. Experimental set-up for O<sub>2</sub>-releasing reaction.



Fig. 2. Calibration curve between the reaction temperature of samples and the power of Xe lamps.

power flux at the superimposed-focal point (Ø8 mm) was about 2150 kW/m<sup>2</sup> measured by heat flux transducer (Medtherm Co.). The temperature was estimated from the calibration curve between the electric current of the Xe lamps and the temperature measured using a thermocouple (Pt-Pt/Rh) (Fig. 2). The O<sub>2</sub> gas evolution profile was recorded by direct-mass spectrometry (DMS) (THERMOLAB, VG Gas Analysis Co.), and the amount of the released O2 gas was calculated from the peak area. The reaction was stopped by quenching (rapidly cooling the reaction cell with ice water) and the solid products were characterized by XRD and chemical analyses. The solid phase was identified by X-ray diffractometry (XRD) with FeKa radiation (Rigaku Co. Rint-UT21) and the lattice constant of the product was calculated by extrapolating the values of  $a_0$  vs. the Nelson–Riley function,  $\cos^2\theta/\sin\theta + \cos^2\theta/\theta$ , to zero using the least squares method. The  $Fe^{2+}/Fe_{total}$  mole ratio of the sample was determined by colorimetry using 2,2'-bipyridil (Iwasaki et al., 1960). The Zn/Fe mole ratio of the solid was determined by atomic absorption spectroscopy (Shimadzu, AA-6650).

# 2.3. $H_2$ generation reaction

The experimental set-up for the  $H_2$  generation reaction is shown in Fig. 3. The mixed powder of the ZnO and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (mole ratio = 1.5) was placed in a quartz cell reactor set-up in an infrared image furnace. The sample was heated to 1073 K and steam (20 mmol/min) was



Fig. 3. Experimental set-up for H<sub>2</sub>-releasing reaction.

passed through with the  $N_2$  carrier gas (100 ml/min), which was purified prior to use by passing over Cu at 873 K. The profile of the  $H_2$  generation was recorded by DMS.

# 3. Results and discussion

# 3.1. Oxygen-releasing reaction of ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> in air

Fig. 4 shows the time-variation profile of the  $O_2$  releasing (recorded by DMS) for the ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> powder (18 mg) in flowing air at 1800 K. The O<sub>2</sub>-releasing reaction took place immediately after the Xe-beam irradiation, and was completed within 2 min. During the O<sub>2</sub>-releasing reaction, it was observed that white smoke was evolved from the solid sample and that a white layer was deposited on the reaction cell wall (Fig. 5). The



Fig. 4. Time-variation profile of the  $O_2$  generation from  $ZnFe_2O_4$  by Xe-beam irradiation in flowing air at 1800 K.



Fig. 5. Photo of the ZnO fine powder deposited on the reaction cell wall after the  $O_2$ -releasing reaction.

chemical analysis showed that the white layer was ZnO powder. In the XRD of the black-colored solid sample left at the sample-mounted position, only strong peaks of the cubic spinel-type structure were observed (Fig. 6(a)). The lattice constant of the spinel-type structure was  $a_0 = 0.8397$  nm which corresponds to that of the stoichiometric Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (JCPDS card #19-629); an XRD peak shift of the ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> spinel compound to that of the stoichiometric Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (black color) was observed (Fig. 6(b)). The chemical analyses showed that 99.3% of the Zn ions were lost from the starting material

(ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> powder), and that the Fe<sup>2+</sup> content in the product solid phase was nearly equal to that (0.33 = Fe<sup>2+</sup>/Fe<sub>total</sub>) of the stoichiometric Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (yield = nearly 100%). This Fe<sup>2+</sup> content generated by the reduction of the Fe<sup>3+</sup> ions in the ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> powder was nearly equal to the evolved O<sub>2</sub> gas volume estimated from the O<sub>2</sub> generation profile recorded by DMS. Thus, the stoichiometric Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> with a spinel-type structure was formed by the O<sub>2</sub>-releasing reaction of the Zn-ferrite (ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>) in flowing air at T = 1800 K with a nearly 100% yield. Also, the ZnO phase was separated from the Zn-ferrite (ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>), and was deposited in the form of a ZnO layer on the reaction cell wall. This is denoted by

$$6ZnFe_2O_4 = 6ZnO \text{ (separated)} + 4Fe_3O_4 + O_2 \tag{5}$$

where the term "separated" in parenthesis means that the ZnO phase was separately deposited on the reaction cell wall.

In the present study, as described in the experimental section, the reaction temperature was estimated from the calibration curve between the electric current of the Xe lamps and the temperature measured by the thermocouple for the same sample (Fig. 2). The O<sub>2</sub>-releasing reaction took place at the 1800 K, but did not proceed at the 1700 K estimated from the calibration curve. It was also observed that the O<sub>2</sub>-releasing reaction proceeded when  $ZnFe_2O_4$  melted (the melting point is 1590 °C [1863 K]). These results suggest that the reaction temperature required for the O<sub>2</sub>-releasing step is around 1800 K.



Fig. 6. XRD patterns (a) and the projected peaks (400) (b) for the solid products before and after the O<sub>2</sub>-releasing reaction.

# 3.2. Thermodynamic study of the $O_2$ -releasing step

The thermodynamics of the O<sub>2</sub>-releasing reaction was studied using MALT2 software with the thermodynamic parameters.

First, it was assumed that the  $ZnFe_2O_4$  was decomposed into the  $Fe_3O_4$  solid phase and the ZnO(g) (gaseous phase), as given by

$$6ZnFe_2O_4 = 6ZnO(g) + 4Fe_3O_4 \text{ (solid)} + O_2 \tag{6}$$

The  $\Delta G$  of Eq. (6) is negative over 2500 K, and the equilibrium constant ( $K_1$ ) for Eq. (6) is given by

$$K_1 = p_{\rm ZnO}^6 \times p_{\rm O_2} \tag{7}$$

where  $p_{ZnO}$  and  $p_{O_2}$  denote the partial pressures of ZnO(g) and O<sub>2</sub>, respectively. In the present experiment, the  $p_{O_2}$  was kept constant by flowing air having a  $p_{O_2}$  of  $10^{-0.167}$  MPa. The  $p_{ZnO}$  (gaseous zinc oxide content) calculated for  $p_{O_2} = 10^{-1.67}$  MPa is shown by curve A in Fig. 7. As can be seen from curve A, the reaction of Eq. (6) seems to take place above T = 2300 K. This temperature is much higher than the practical experimental temperature of the O<sub>2</sub>-releasing reaction, as described above (1800 K). Based on the higher vapor pressure of the metal Zn at 1800 K, the ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> seems to be dissociated into the metal Zn vapor and O<sub>2</sub> as described by

$$3/2ZnFe_2O_4 = 3/2Zn(g) + Fe_3O_4 + O_2$$
 (8)

In the present experimental set-up, there is a thermal gradient in the reaction cell between the sample position and the reaction cell wall whose outer side is in contact with the air (heat sink at room temperature). Therefore, the vaporized metal Zn gas formed by Eq. (8) will be readily oxidized to ZnO(solid) near the surface of the cell wall by the  $O_2$  gas in the air passing through the reaction cell.

The  $\Delta G$  of Eq. (8) is negative over 2015 K, and the equilibrium constant ( $K_2$ ) of Eq. (8) is given by

$$K_2 = p_{\rm Zn}^{3/2} \times p_{\rm O_2} \tag{9}$$

a (a



Fig. 7. (A) ZnO vapor pressure  $p_{ZnO}$  and (B) Zn vapor pressure  $p_{Zn}$  for decomposition of ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> at  $p_{O_2} = 10^{-0.167}$  MPa.

The temperature dependence of  $p_{Zn}^{3/2}$  with Eq. (8) at  $p_{O_2} = 10^{-1.67}$  MPa is given by curve B in Fig. 7. As can be seen here, a predominant increase in the  $p_{Zn}$  occurs above 2200 K, which is lower by 300 K compared with curve A for the case of ZnO(g).

In order to examine the Zn metal vapor generation from the ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> powder according Eq. (8), the O<sub>2</sub>releasing reaction was evaluated using the reaction cell equipped with a water-cooled condenser for trapping the Zn vapor as metallic Zn by quenching. In this experiment, the ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> sample was irradiated with the Xe beams at 1800 K in flowing Ar. The O<sub>2</sub> evolution was observed in the DMS. After the reaction, the metal Zn powder (black) was deposited on the condenser. The deposited metal Zn was identified by XRD. These results suggest that, at the lower O<sub>2</sub> partial pressure (in flowing Ar), the O<sub>2</sub>-releasing reaction of ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> will readily occur according to Eq. (8) at 1800 K.

These findings suggest that one can consider the following reaction mechanism as follows. The gaseous atmosphere surrounding the sample heated to 1800 K by the Xe-beam irradiation has a fairly lower oxygen partial pressure due to the successive generation of the metal zinc vapor. This successive vaporization would be facilitated by the rapid removal of the vaporized metal Zn by the oxidation with  $O_2$  gas in the air that passes through the reaction cell (The metal zinc vaporization will more favorably take place, if the metal zinc vapor generated near the sample powders is successively and instantly removed from the sample powders). This process is given by

$$Zn(g) + 1/2O_2$$
 (in air gas) = ZnO (solid) (10)

where "in air gas" denotes that the  $O_2$  gas is mostly air passing through the reaction cell. Eq. (10) will be accelerated at the lower temperature near the surface of the reaction cell wall, and fine particles will mostly be deposited as a thin layer on the reaction cell wall. The total reaction of Eqs. (8) and (10) can be written as

$$ZnFe_2O_4 = ZnO \text{ (separated)} + 2/3Fe^{II}Fe_2^{II}O_4 + 1/6O_2$$
(11)

Eq. (8) is the apparent reaction equation representing that the  $O_2$ -releasing reaction proceeds at 1800 K in the air flowing condition.

Fig. 8 shows the phase diagram representing the stability field of the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> phase in terms of temperature and O<sub>2</sub> partial pressure. The intersection of the dotted lines in Fig. 8 is the phase equilibrium point determined by the temperature and the  $p_{O_2}$  corresponding to those of the present experimental conditions ( $p_{O_2} = 10^{-1.67}$  MPa, T = 1800 K). As can be seen here, the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> phase is located in the fairly stable area, indicating that the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> solid produced by the O<sub>2</sub>-releasing reaction is stable even in air.



Fig. 8. Phase diagram representing the stability field of the  $Fe_3O_4$  phase in terms of temperature and  $O_2$  partial pressure.

From the batch experiment where the ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> powder was contained in the sealed reaction cell filled with air, the gas chromatography for the product gas analysis showed that the O<sub>2</sub>-releasing reaction of ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> took place even in the reaction cell sealed with air at the reaction rate of the O<sub>2</sub>-releasing process. The reaction rate is sufficiently high to practically operate a solar reactor using a concentrated solar beam flux. The result on the batch experiment indicates that the 100% content of the O<sub>2</sub> gas bearing the fine ZnO particles will be obtained from the outlet line of the reactor without any quenching of the outlet gas, when the solar reactor is operated by dropping the Zn-ferrite powder into the solar reactor without blowing any gases.

# 3.3. Two-step water splitting with the $ZnFe_2O_4/(ZnO + Fe_3O_4)$ -system

The H<sub>2</sub> generation step of the  $ZnFe_2O_4/(ZnO +$  $Fe_3O_4$ )-system have already been studied and reported elsewhere (Tamaura et al., 2002; Uehara et al., 2001); the H<sub>2</sub> generation reaction readily proceeds at around 1000 K with a nearly 100% yield according to the reaction of Eq. (4). Therefore, it seems to possible that the solar H<sub>2</sub> can be generated by the two-step water-splitting process with the  $ZnFe_2O_4/(ZnO + Fe_3O_4)$ -system, in which the O<sub>2</sub>-releasing step can be operated in air at 1800 K. The present authors have examined whether the Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and the ZnO solids, which are separately produced by the O2-releasing reaction of the ZnFe2O4 powder, can generate H<sub>2</sub> gas by Eq. (4). The Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> solid obtained after the O2-releasing reaction was ground in a mortar and mixed with ZnO powder, which had been recovered from the reaction cell wall. The mole ratio of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/ZnO was adjusted to 1.5. The H<sub>2</sub> generation experiment was carried out using the experimental setup as shown in Fig. 3. The H<sub>2</sub> generation reaction took place with a nearly 100% yield (from XRD) at 1073 K,



Fig. 9. Partial pressure of Zn vapor ( $p_{Zn}$ ) with the temperature variation (at partial pressure of O<sub>2</sub> fixed in 2 × 10<sup>-5</sup> MPa).

which was the same result previously reported (Tamaura et al., 2002; Uehara et al., 2001).

This system has several advantages compared to the Zn/ZnO system; the present system can more efficiently absorb the concentrated solar beam due to the black color of the Zn-ferrite (ZnO; white color) and the lower operation temperature (vaporizing temperature difference between Zn-ferrite and ZnO = 150 K) (Fig. 9).

## 4. Conclusion

Under flowing air-flow condition at 1800 K, the spinel-type compound of ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (Zn-ferrite) released  $O_2$  gas and decomposed into  $Fe_3O_4$  (=  $Fe^{II}Fe_2^{III}O_4$ ) and ZnO with a nearly 100% yield. Also, the ZnO phase was separately deposited as a thin layer on the surface of the reaction cell wall. The XRD measurement and chemical analysis showed that the O2-releasing reaction can be described by  $ZnFe_2O_4 = ZnO + 2/3Fe^{II}Fe_2^{III}O_4 +$  $1/6O_2$ . The thin layer ZnO deposition seems to proceed via vaporization of the metal zinc according to 3/  $2ZnFe_2O_4 = 3/2Zn(g) + Fe_3O_4 + O_2$  and via rapid removal of the vaporized metal zinc by the oxidation with  $O_2$  gas in air-flowing through the reaction cell. The  $O_2$ releasing reaction of ZnFe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> takes place even in the reaction cell sealed with air (1800 K) at the reaction rate, which is sufficiently high to practically operate a solar reactor using a concentrated solar beam flux. This result gave the important conclusion that we will be able to operate the solar reactor having no window by suction and flowing air, and that we can successively feed the Zn-ferrite powders to the reactor by dropping them into the reactor without using any inert gases.

Also, the result of the batch experiment indicated that it seems to be possible to get 100% O<sub>2</sub> gas bearing the fine ZnO particles, which will be generated in the solar reactor, from the outlet line of the reactor without any quenching of the outlet gas.

The one cycle of the two-step water-splitting process with  $ZnFe_2O_4/(ZnO + Fe_3O_4)$ -system was demonstrated, indicating that the solar H<sub>2</sub> will be produced by repetition of these two steps for the  $ZnFe_2O_4/(ZnO + Fe_3O_4)$ -system using concentrated solar energy for the O<sub>2</sub>-releasing step in air or maybe with a higher O<sub>2</sub> partial pressure. This is the first demonstration for the two-step water-splitting process whose O<sub>2</sub>-releasing step can be operated in air at 1800 K with nearly 100% yield. The temperature of 1800 K is the lowest in air condition for the two-step of water-splitting process, which could be applied for the solar hydrogen production using concentrated solar energy.

# References

- Bamberger, C.E., 1978. Hydrogen production from water by thermochemical cycles; a 1977 update. Cryogenics 18, 170– 183.
- Fletcher, E.A., 1983. On the thermodynamics of solar energy use. J. Minnesota Acad Sci 49, 30–34.
- Fletcher, E.A., 1999. Solar thermal and solar quasi-electrolytic processing and separations: zinc from zinc oxide as an example. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 38, 2275–2282.
- Fletcher, E.A., Moen, R.L., 1977. Hydrogen and oxygen from water. Science 197, 1050–1056.
- Iwasaki, I., Katsura, T., Ozawa, T., Yoshida, M., Mashima, H., Haramura, H., Iwasaki, B., 1960. Chemical composition of Iava flows, I: Iron content of the Iava flow eluted in 1950–1951 from volcano O-shima, Izu, Japan. Bull. Volcanol. Soc. Jpn. Ser. II 5, 9–24.
- Kaneko, H., Gokon, N., Hasegawa, N., Inoue, M., Uehara, R., Tamaura, Y., 2002. Water splitting by using a metal oxide for solar thermal/chemical energy conversion. Eco-Engineering 14, 3–9.
- Kogan, A., 1998. Direct solar thermal splitting of water and onsite separation of the products. II. Experimental feasibility study. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 23, 89–98.
- Kogan, A., Spiegl, E., Wolfshtein, M., 2000. Direct solar thermal splitting of water and on-site separation of the products. III. Improvement of reactor efficiency by steam entrainment. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 25, 739–745.
- Kojima, M., Sano, T., Wada, Y., Yamamoto, T., Tamaura, Y., 1996. Thermochemical decomposition of H<sub>2</sub>O to H<sub>2</sub> on cation-excess ferrite. J. Phys. Chem. Solid 57 (11), 1757– 1763.
- Kuhn, P., Frei, A., Winkler, C., 1992. In: Proceedings of 6th Int. Symp. Solar Thermal Concentrating Technologies, Spain, p. 1381.

- Lundberg, M., 1993. Model calculations on some feasible twostep water splitting process. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 18, 369–376.
- Nakamura, T., 1977. Hydrogen production from water utilizing solar heat at high temperatures. Solar Energy 19, 467–475.
- Palumbo, R., Lede, J., Boutin, O., Ricart, E.E., Steinfeld, A., Moller, S., Weidenkaff, A., Scholl, K.L., Fletcher, E.A., Bielicki, J., 1998. The production of Zn from ZnO in a hightemperature solar decomposition quench process—I. The scientific framework for the process. Chem. Eng. Sci. 53, 2503–2517.
- Sibieude, F., Ducarroir, M., Tofighi, A., Ambriz, J., 1982. High-temperature experiments with a solar furnace: the decomposition of Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, CdO. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 7, 79–88.
- Steinfeld, A., 1995. Solar fuels (and chemical heat pipes). In: Grasse, W. (Ed.), Solar PACES Ann. Report, pp. 5.2–5.6.
- Steinfeld, A., Fletcher, E.A., 1991. Theoretical and experimental investigation of the carbothermic reduction of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> using solar energy. Energy 16 (7), 1011–1019.
- Steinfeld, A., Schubnell, M., 1993. Optimum aperture size and operating temperature of a solar cavity-receiver. Solar Energy 50, 19–25.
- Tamaura, Y., Steinfeld, A., Kuhm, P., Ehrenberger, K., 1995. Production of solar hydrogen by a novel, 2-step, watersplitting thermochemical cycle. Energy 20 (4), 325–330.
- Tamaura, Y., Uehara, R., Hasegawa, N., Gohkon, N., Kaneko, H., 2002. H<sub>2</sub> generation reaction with H<sub>2</sub>O/ZnO/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> system. In: Abst. of Autumn Meeting of Japan Society of Powder & Powder Metallurgy, Kyoto, Japan, p. 210.
- Tofighi, A., Sibieude, F., 1980. Note on the condensation of the vapor phase above a melt of iron oxide in a solar parabolic concentrator. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 5, 375–381.
- Tofighi, A., Sibiaude, F., 1984. Dissociation of magnetite in a solar furnace for hydrogen production. Tentative production evaluation of a 1000 kW concentrator from small scale (2 kW) experimental results. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 9, 293–296.
- Uehara, R., Hasegawa, N., Gohkon, N., Kaneko, H., Tamaura, Y., 2001. Solid state chemical analysis on ZnO and Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> particles in the H<sub>2</sub> generation reaction with H<sub>2</sub>O/ ZnO/Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> system. In: Abst. of Autumn Meeting of Japan Society of Powder & Powder Metallurgy, Nagoya, Japan, p. 165.
- Weidenkaff, A., Steinfeld, A., Wokaun, A., Auer, P.O., Eichler, A., Reller, A., 1999. Direct solar thermal dissociation of zinc oxide: condensation and crystallization of zinc in the presence of oxygen. Solar Energy 65, 59–69.
- Weidenkaff, A., Reller, A.W., Wokaun, A., Steinfeld, A., 2000. Thermogravimetric analysis of the ZnO/Zn water splitting cycle. Thermochim. Acta 359, 69–75.