

1

2 **Chapter 5 – Biorefineries for the valorization of food** 3 **processing waste**

4

5 **Antonio D. Moreno, Mercedes Ballesteros, María José Negro***

6

7 *CIEMAT, Department of Energy, Biofuels Unit, 28040 Madrid, Spain*

8

9 **Abstract**

10 The large amount of waste produced by the food industry constitutes a great loss of
11 valuable materials and raises serious management problems from both the economic
12 and environmental point of view. Many of these residues or by-products have, however,
13 the potential to be utilized as raw materials into alternative production systems such as
14 biorefineries. The present chapter deals with the use of the waste resulting from the food
15 manufacturing industry, taking grape-derived waste, brewer's spent grain, olive-derived
16 waste, potato-derived waste and dairy by-products as biorefinery examples. These
17 processes are presented showing the feasibility and constraints of applying industrial
18 symbiosis towards the implementation of a circular bioeconomy. Furthermore, value-
19 added products with especial interest for the nutraceutical and pharmaceutical industry
20 are highlighted, including some antioxidants and phenolic compounds with anticancer
21 activity.

22 **Keywords:** Bioeconomy; Biowaste; Waste management; Industrial symbiosis;
23 Nutraceuticals.

24

25 **7.1. Introduction**

26 The increasing global demand for food production to meet the needs of an
27 exponentially-growing population is claiming for sustain and effective strategies for
28 food waste management, especially in terms of treatment and waste disposal. The Food
29 and Agriculture Organization has estimated the production of food waste in about 1.3
30 billion tons/year worldwide (FAO, 2011). Only in Europe, 173 kg/person of food
31 (corresponding to ca. 90 million tons in total) are discarded every year as waste, even

32 though much of this food is still suitable for human consumption (Stenmarck et al.,
33 2016). These figures come from households (53%), the food manufacturing industry
34 (19%), the food service sector (12%; including ready-to-eat food, catering and
35 restaurants), primary production (11%), and losses derived from the distribution chain
36 (5%). Today, these waste fractions are usually recovered or disposed for composting,
37 crops ploughed in/not harvested, anaerobic digestion, bioenergy production,
38 cogeneration, incineration, disposal to sewer, landfill, or discarded to sea, having
39 tremendous economic and environmental impacts. For instance, Europe losses about
40 €143 billion annually due to food waste (Stenmarck et al., 2016). Furthermore, these
41 residues promote severe environmental damage since they contribute to the greenhouse
42 gas emissions, may cause groundwater contamination due to landfilling, and represent
43 an excellent nutrient-rich niche for the growth of disease-causing organisms (Ravindran
44 and Jaiswal, 2016).

45 As an alternative to the traditional methods for food waste management, food waste is
46 nowadays considered a very attractive raw material for the so-called biorefineries. This
47 is due to its high organic content and the presence of several valuable compounds such
48 as oils, sugars, proteins and phenolic compounds. Biorefineries are industries capable of
49 converting biomass feedstocks (including industrial wastes, the organic fraction of
50 municipal solid wastes, lignocellulose, and algal biomass) into a wide range of
51 renewable bioproducts such as biofuels, bioplastics, fertilizers, nutraceuticals, and/or
52 phytochemicals (Ravindran and Jaiswal, 2016). In this context, the use of food waste as
53 biorefinery feedstock will contribute to cut down the amounts of residues discarded,
54 offering huge potentials and opportunities for a better economic and environmental
55 performance of the food sector. This strategy will also aid at developing and
56 implementing a “zero waste” bioeconomy, where the industrial symbiosis –the use of
57 wastes from one process as raw materials in another one– works as the central core.

58 As a concept, circular economy started back in the 1970s, including contributors such as
59 U.S. professor John Lyle, his student William McDonough, the German chemist,
60 Michael Braungart, and, architect and economist, Walter Stahel (Winans et al., 2017).
61 Now, sustainability criteria, material and energy efficiency, and the needs for reducing
62 waste production and greenhouse gas emissions are the main driving forces for the
63 circular economy to go beyond the research perspective (Kaur et al., 2018). Circular
64 economy aims at preserving product values and to extent the materials and resources

65 lives as long as possible. In this way, efficient production and consumption are
66 prioritized, following continuous and regenerative cycles. This leads to a reduced
67 consumption of raw materials and energy, which in turn, results in less amount of waste
68 and greenhouse gas emissions during the production process. Within the framework of a
69 sustainable economy, biorefineries will integrate different technologies (physical,
70 thermochemical, chemical and biological) for the conversion of the residual biomass,
71 obtaining several energy and chemical products of industrial interest for the
72 development and implementation of a sustainable bioeconomy.

73 One of the major challenges for the use of food waste as biorefinery feedstock is sample
74 heterogeneity. Food waste includes residues from cereals, root and tubers, oil crops and
75 pulses, fruit and vegetables, meat, fish and dairy products. Households and the food
76 manufacturing sector are the largest contributors to the generation of food waste.
77 However, while households provide complex heterogeneous and not segregated
78 mixtures, the food manufacturing industries offers the possibility of collecting more
79 homogenous materials. This is key for the optimal valorization of these residues, since
80 feedstock variations lead to differences in the chemical composition of wastes. Thus, the
81 implementation of proper collection and storage strategies at each stage of the
82 production chain (food processing, packaging, transportation, and storage) would
83 contribute to the suitable sorting of these materials for their subsequent use.

84 The present chapter reviews the use of food waste derived from the food manufacturing
85 sector as feedstocks for the production of relevant bioproducts (excluding energy-
86 related products), highlighting the most recent advances for waste conversion from the
87 bioeconomy point of view.

88 **7.2. The food industry: wastes and valuable by-products**

89 Considering its biochemical nature, food waste can be classified into animal-derived
90 waste and plant-derived waste. In addition to these main groups, food waste can also be
91 divided into 7 subcategories according to the waste origin (Galanakis, 2012).

92 ***7.2.1. Animal-derived waste***

93 Meat, fish and dairy products are the three main subgroups included in the animal-
94 derived waste. These food products have largely increased their production and
95 consumption worldwide, specifically in developed countries (Uçak, 2007).