

Supplementary Table 1. Examples of microbial delignification of different raw materials

Microorganism	Raw Material	Lignin loss	Improve Hydrolysis ^a	Improve Ethanol Production ^a	Remarks	Reference
<i>C. subvermispora</i> , <i>D. squalens</i> , <i>P. ostreatus</i> and <i>T. versicolor</i>	Beech wood chips	13-21%	n.r.	High with <i>C. subvermispora</i>	Saved 15% of the electricity needed for the subsequent ethanolysis	Itoh <i>et al.</i> , 2003
<i>C. lacerata</i> , <i>S. hirsutum</i> and <i>P. brumalis</i>	Japanese red pine chips	11-15%	Low-Medium	n.r.		Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2007
<i>C. subvermispora</i> and <i>G. australe</i>	Wood chips from Monterey pine and silver wattle	9%	High (Monterey pine) No (silver wattle)	Low-Medium in SHF. Very high (Monterey pine) and Low (silver wattle) in SSF		Muñoz <i>et al.</i> , 2007
<i>P. chrysosporium</i> , <i>P. cinnabarinus</i> , RCK-1 and RCK-3	Wheat straw and mesquite	8-23%	Medium	Medium (wheat straw) and Low (mesquite)	Lower acid load for hydrolysis	Kuhar <i>et al.</i> , 2008
Bacterial and fungal strains	Sugarcane trash	33-92%	n.r.	n.r.	Cellulase production	Singh <i>et al.</i> , 2008
<i>T. versicolor</i>	Wheat straw and steam exploded wheat straw	31-75%	n.r.	n.r.	Better delignification in steam-exploded material	Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2008
<i>P. chrysosporium</i>	Cotton stalks	19-36%	No	No		Shi <i>et al.</i> , 2009
Euc-1 and <i>I. lacteus</i>	Wheat straw	n.r.	Medium-High	n.r.	Increase cellulose/lignin ratio	Dias <i>et al.</i> , 2010
<i>C. subvermispora</i>	Corn stover	Up to 32%	High-Very high	Very high	Minimal cellulose loss	Wan and Li, 2010

<i>I. lacteus</i>	Cornstalks	75-80%	Low-Medium	n.r.		Yu <i>et al.</i> , 2010
<i>T. versicolor</i> (strain deficient on CDH)	Chinese kenaf pulp pretreated with soda-anthraquinone cooking	n.r.	High-Very high	n.r.		Canam <i>et al.</i> , 2011
<i>P. cinnabarinus</i>	Mesquite and Spanish flag	6-12%	Medium	n.r.	Reduced phenolic content in acid hydrolysate	Gupta <i>et al.</i> , 2011
Different white-rot fungi	Wheat straw	Up to 47%	Low-Very high	Low-Very high		Salvachúa <i>et al.</i> , 2011
<i>P. chrysosporium</i>	Wheat straw	30%	n.r.	n.r.		Singh <i>et al.</i> , 2011

n.r. not reported

^aLow, increments lower than 20%; Medium, increments between 20-50%; High, increments between 50-90%; Very high, increments higher than 90%

Supplementary Table 2. *In situ* microbial detoxification by co-culture, evolutionary engineering, genetic engineering and other strategies

Strategy	Strain	Raw Material	Improve fermentation parameters ^a			Increase Tolerance			Remarks	Reference
			Ethanol Concentration	Yield	Productivity	Weak acids	Furan derivatives	Phenolic compounds		
<i>Co-culture</i>	<i>A. nidulans</i> FLZ10	Steam exploded corn stover	Very high	Very high	Very high	Yes	Yes	n.r.	Little competition with <i>S. cerevisiae</i>	Yu <i>et al.</i> , 2011
<i>Adaptation</i>	Adapted <i>P. stipitis</i> NRRL Y-7124 and <i>S. cerevisiae</i> NRRL Y-12632	Synthetic media	No	No	Very high	No	Yes	No		Liu <i>et al.</i> , 2005
	Adapted xylose-utilizing <i>S. cerevisiae</i>	Hydrolysate from acid pretreated sugarcane bagasse	Low	No	Very high	No	Yes	No		Martín <i>et al.</i> , 2007
	Adapted <i>S. cerevisiae</i> Y5	SPORL-pretreated lodgepole pine	No	No	Very high	No	Yes	No		Tian <i>et al.</i> , 2010
	Adapted <i>S. cerevisiae</i> F12	Steam exploded wheat straw	Medium-High	Low-Medium	Low-Very high	Yes	Yes	Yes	Less residual xylose	Tomás-Pejó <i>et al.</i> , 2010
	Adapted <i>P. stipitis</i> Y-7124	Hydrolysate from acid pretreated sugar maple	Very high	Very high	Very high	Yes	Yes	Yes		Stoutenburg <i>et al.</i> , 2011
	Adapted <i>P. stipitis</i>	Steam exploded	Very high	Very	Very High	Yes	Yes	Yes		Yang <i>et al.</i> ,

	CBS6054	corn stalk		High						2011
	Adapted xylose-recombinant <i>S. cerevisiae</i> strains	Hydrolysate from dilute-acid pretreated spruce	Low	Low	Medium	Yes	Yes	Yes		Koppram <i>et al.</i> , 2012
<i>Genetic Engineering</i>	<i>S. cerevisiae</i> INVSC1 (strain overexpressing PAD1)	Synthetic media and hydrolysate from dilute-acid pretreated spruce	n.r.	Low-Medium	Low	No	No	Yes		Larsson <i>et al.</i> , 2001a
	<i>S. cerevisiae</i> INVSC1 (strain expressing laccase (<i>T. versicolor</i>) and overexpressing SSO2)	Synthetic media and hydrolysate from dilute-acid pretreated spruce	Very high	Very high	Very high	No	No	Yes		Larsson <i>et al.</i> , 2001b
	<i>S. cerevisiae</i> BY4741 (strain overexpressing ZWF1)	Synthetic media	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	No	Yes	No		Gorsich <i>et al.</i> , 2006
	<i>S. cerevisiae</i> CEN.PK 113-5D (strain overexpressing ADH6)	Synthetic media	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	No	Yes	No	Higher rate even in aerobic and anaerobic conditions	Petersson <i>et al.</i> , 2006
	<i>S. cerevisiae</i> CEN.PK 113-5D (strain	Hydrolysate from dilute-acid	No	No	Medium	No	Yes	No		Almeida <i>et al.</i> , 2008

	overexpressing ADH6)	pretreated spruce								
<i>Others</i>	Continuous culture with cell recirculation (<i>S. cerevisiae</i> ATCC 96581)	Hydrolysate from dilute-acid pretreated spruce	Low	Low	Low	No	Yes	n.r.		Brandberg <i>et al.</i> , 2005
	Continuous culture with encapsulated cells (<i>S. cerevisiae</i> CBS 8066)	Hydrolysate from dilute-acid pretreated spruce	n.r.	Medium	Medium-Very high	No	Yes	n.r.	Keep more than 75% cell viability	Talebnia and Taherzadeh, 2006
	Anaerobic batch cultivations of encapsulated cells (<i>S. cerevisiae</i> CBS 8066)	Hydrolysate from dilute-acid pretreated spruce	n.r.	Low	Low	No	Yes	n.r.		Westman <i>et al.</i> , 2012
	Continuous culture with flocculating yeast (<i>S. cerevisiae</i> CCUG 53310)	Hydrolysate from dilute-acid pretreated spruce	Very high*	Very high*	Very high*	No	Yes	n.r.		Purwadi <i>et al.</i> , 2007

^aLow, increments lower than 20%; Medium, increments between 20-50%; High, increments between 50-90%; Very high, increments higher than 90%.
n.r. not reported

* Taking into account the dilution rate

Supplementary References

- Shi J, Sharma-Shivappa RR, Chinn M, Howell N. (2009). Effect of microbial pretreatment on enzymatic hydrolysis and fermentation of cotton stalks for ethanol production. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 33, 88-96.
- Singh D, Zeng J, Laskar DD, Deobald L, Hiscox WC, Chen S. (2011). Investigation of wheat straw biodegradation by *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 35, 1030–40.
- Dias AA, Freitas GS, Marques GS, Sampaio A, Fraga IS, Rodrigues MA, Evtuguin DV, Bezerra RM. (2010). Enzymatic saccharification of biologically pre-treated wheat straw with white-rot fungi. *Bioresour Technol*, 101, 6045-50.