

Biological treatment and microbial composition of landfill leachate using a compost process in an airlift bioreactor

Elena H. del Amo^{a,d}, Rodrigo Poblete^{b,*}, Olga Sánchez^a, Manuel I. Maldonado^{c,e}

^a Departament de Genètica i Microbiologia. Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Bellaterra, Spain

^b Universidad Católica del Norte, Facultad de Ciencias del Mar, Escuela de Prevención de Riesgos y Medioambiente, 1780000 Coquimbo, Chile.

^c CIEMAT-Plataforma Solar de Almería, Ctra. De Senés s/n, 04200 Tabernas, Almería, Spain.

^d Institut d'Ecologia Aquàtica. Universitat de Girona, 17003 Girona, Spain

^e CIESOL, Joint Centre University of Almería-CIEMAT, 04120 Almería, Spain

*Corresponding author

Abstract

Landfill leachate (LL) contains a large amount of toxic compounds and its treatment is currently a matter of concern. In this work, an adapted compost in different airlift bioreactors was used to depurate a complex, toxic and old landfill leachate without (raw leachate) and after pretreatments (coagulation/flocculation; coagulation/flocculation and filtration; coagulation/flocculation, filtration and photo-Fenton process). After pretreatments, a high removal of organic matter was achieved, with a global removal of chemical oxygen demand (COD) and humic acids of 72.4% and 83.4%, respectively; besides, copper and iron in the landfill leachate presented a total removal of 91.1% and 65.8%, respectively. On the other hand, after the contact of raw leachate with compost in the airlift, there was a decrease of COD, copper and iron, while for humic acids there was a slight increase. The same trend was observed when the leachate was submitted to the different pretreatments and subsequently subjected to the biologic process with compost. The respirometric analyses showed that the raw leachate was toxic, although this tendency decreased along the treatments, with inhibition values of 41.8%, 27.5%, 22.0% and 12.1% for raw, coagulation/flocculation, filtration and photo-Fenton processes respectively. Also, in order to improve our knowledge about the composition of the microbial assemblages in compost samples and their possible role in pollutants removal from

LL, we analysed samples from both an adapted and a non-adapted compost before and after being in contact with the raw leachate by applying Illumina sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene. *Bacteroidota*, *Proteobacteria*, *Firmicutes*, *Spirochaetota* and *Deinococcota* were the most abundant phyla in all samples, being present in the 50 most abundant Amplified Sequence Variants (ASVs) of the study, which represented 56.5% of the total analysed sequences and were considered as our core community. *Chryseolinea*, *Herbinix*, *Proteiniphilum*, *Pseudomonas* and *Sphaerochaeta* seemed to be the most resilient genera when the compost was in contact to LL. Moreover, our results showed that the metabolisms related to chemoheterotrophy, fermentation and the nitrogen cycle were the most relevant in all samples. In general, the microbial community was able to adapt to adverse conditions and remove pollutants, as heavy metals.

Keywords: Landfill leachate treatment; organic matter; heavy metals; compost, microbial community.

Highlights:

An adapted compost allows a high removal of pollutants in a toxic landfill leachate

Microbial communities treating landfill leachate change according to the adaptation of compost

An airlift bioreactor is adequate to be used in a treatment with compost

1. Introduction

Currently, with the rapid growth of population, urbanization, industrialization and development of technology, the annual production of municipal solid waste is increasing year after year. The main practice for disposing these wastes is landfill (Miao et al., 2019), but one of the problems of this method is the production of leachates, that is, the percolation of water in the waste layers and the *in situ* occurrence of biological and chemical reactions. The landfill leachate (LL) contains a large amount of refractory organic products, heavy metals, high ammonia and several toxic contaminants (Hassan et al., 2016), as xenobiotic compounds like aromatic hydrocarbons, pesticides, phenols, aliphatic chlorinated and plasticizers. As a consequence, an inadequate disposal or treatment of LL may cause long-term potential harm to the environment (Zhang et al., 2019).

At present, the main treatment processes of LL are biological and physicochemical methods. Physicochemical processes can remove most of the contaminants, although they are expensive and susceptible to produce secondary pollution (Miao et al., 2019). In contrast, biological methods are the common technique for treating LL due to their simplicity and cost-effectiveness (Bu et al., 2016), and they are

applicable when the ratio of biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) to chemical oxygen demand (COD) in LL is higher than 0.4 (De et al., 2019). However, the high concentrations of pollutants present in an old LL may reduce the activity of microorganisms and the efficacy of biological treatment (Liu et al., 2015) due to the presence of nitrogen (Klein et al., 2017) and organic refractories with high molecular weight and complex structures (Di laconi et al., 2006). In this context, it is important to promote an adaptation of the biological system to obtain the depuration of the wastewater that presents problems caused by the low biodegradability and acute toxicity of those pollutants (Bai et al., 2020).

Alternatively, the composting process is a technology for the transformation of organic wastes into stable and high-quality agricultural by-products via biochemical reactions, reducing their environmental risk (Li et al., 2012). Besides, the application of composting allows the valorization of wastes. The composting process is driven by the microbial metabolism, and the composting duration period depends on factors such as temperature, pH, compost mass bulk density, porosity and aeration, among others (Ren et al., 2018). Besides, composting constitutes a bioaugmentation method where thermophilic bacteria participate in the biodegradation of polluting materials (Yanto and Tachibana, 2013), resulting in a feasible bioremediation alternative. Compost has been studied for soil remediation and has been considered as a suitable material for *in situ* heavy metal removal (Zhou et al., 2017) and elimination of emerging pollutants (Kuppusamy et al., 2017). The effectiveness of compost use in the treatment of pollutants is either dependent on the adsorption by organic matter or on the degradation by microorganisms and present enzymes (Kuppusamy et al., 2017; Zhou et al., 2017). In general, the compost quality has an impact on the bioavailability and biodegradation of organic pollutants (Cerdeira et al., 2018; Luo et al., 2018). Unlike conventional biological treatments, this kind of processes are easy and reliable methods for wastewater treatment using very small spaces (Gholami et al., 2020).

On the other hand, single bioreactors are often used in wastewater treatment because they offer some benefits such as enhanced organic removal capacity, smaller reactor volume, lower energy consumption, short hydraulic retention time and high organic loading rate (Warmeling et al., 2016). Particularly, airlift bioreactors are single devices that create different oxygen concentration zones (aerobic, anaerobic and anoxic) by embedding the blade as a physical separation, providing a more convenient operation in comparison to other single bioreactors (Asadi et al., 2017). This kind of bioreactor enhances the contact efficiency between gas–solid–liquid phases due to fluid circulation (Mota et al., 2015), providing a very high mixing inside the reactor without the need of a mechanical stirrer (Asadi et al., 2017). Besides, it presents an increased microbial diversity and high removal efficiency of recalcitrant pollutants (Xu et al., 2020). The oxygen bubbles circulate inside the

bioreactor thanks to the action of the airlift, increasing the efficiency of oxygen mass transfer, and allowing the growth of microorganisms as well as the removal of organic matter (Mendes and Badino, 2015). Due to all these advantages, in this work we have focused on the characterization of pollutants and heavy metal removal from a LL in an airlift bioreactor packed with a LL preadapted compost. As far as we know, no studies have been done using this kind of setup for LL treatment. Microbial communities were further investigated by applying Illumina sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene, a method able to provide thousands of sequence reads.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Sample collection

Samples (100 L) of LL were obtained from different places of the pond of the landfill El Panul, where the domestic waste in Coquimbo (Chile, Longitude: -71.3, Latitude: -29.9) is managed.

2.2. Pretreatment of the landfill leachate

The raw LL was submitted to a coagulation/flocculation process using FeCl_3 with a load of 1 g/L (Merck), stirred at 150 rpm for 2 h (Poblete et al., 2020), after being left to rest for 12 hours. Then the supernatant was collected and submitted to a 5 μm filtration. Subsequently, the filtered LL was treated with a photo-Fenton process in a laboratory scale photoreactor of 1 L of capacity, using UV irradiation emitted by an immersion UV lamp of 36 W (Atman Unit) with a maximum emission at a wavelength of 254 nm. The pH of the LL was adjusted to 3 using H_2SO_4 and the reagents used in the photo-Fenton reaction were $\text{FeSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and H_2O_2 in concentrations of 0.3 g/L and 0.67 g/L, respectively. The run lasted 1 h. The reagent concentrations were considered according to previous results obtained by our research group (Poblete et al., 2019). A submerged pump (40 W) recirculated the LL at a flow rate of 8 L/min from a recirculation tank of 5 L useful capacity, which received LL from the photoreactor. Figure 1 shows a schematic diagram of the pretreatments involved.




Figure 1. Schematic diagram of the pretreatment of the raw landfill leachate (LL).

2.3. Biological treatment in an airlift packing bioreactor

The removal efficiency of different pollutants present in the LL was evaluated using an airlift packing bioreactor (APBR) with a prototype scale in batch condition. The APBR was inoculated with immature compost that was obtained using organic wastes constituted by 10 kg of granulated solid coffee waste and 10 kg of sawdust, that were placed in a 50-litre cylindrical container. The solid coffee waste used to compost was obtained from a local shop in Coquimbo (Chile). Sawdust was obtained from carpentry stores also in Coquimbo.

With the aim of adapting the microorganisms present in the compost to the complex content of the LL, it was wetted with a 10% concentration of the raw leachate for one week. The following week the concentration of the leachate was increased again by 10%, and this rise in concentration was maintained until week 10, to finally use a leachate without dilution (pure raw leachate), and maintain the periodically wetted compost with pure raw landfill leachate for a duration of 6 months, in order to allow the microorganisms present in the compost to gradually adapt to the complex and toxic substances of the leachate (Yadav et al., 2020; Tombola et al., 2019).

In the APBR with adapted compost, 1 kg of material was placed inside the reactor as a packed tower of 1 L of volume. A diffusor and tube of air connected to a 10 W-power air pump were positioned at the bottom of the tower (Figure 2). The air pump provided aeration to allow the oxygenation of the packed tower and the recirculation of the LL.

In the bottom and in the upper parts of the packed tower there was a membrane to avoid leakage of the compost. The system was filled with 2 L of LL and the air pump was turned on to produce the effect of airlift and the recirculation of the LL in the system with a flow of 0.5 L/min. The packed tower of the APBR was 50 cm high, with an external diameter of 5 cm, connected to a recirculation tube with the same dimensions (Figure 2). In the upper zone of the packed tower a 10 cm extension of the tube was maintained free of LL and compost, which allowed sample collection and the retention of the foam generated during the process.




Figure 2. Schematic diagram of the airlift packing bioreactor (APBR).

To evaluate the effect of the use of the pretreatments described above in bioreactors, the LL submitted to the different stage pretreatments was treated in the APBRs (Figure 3). The system operated continuously for 10 days for each LL. Thus, the LL added into the bioreactors was: a) raw LL; b) LL submitted to coagulation/flocculation; c) LL submitted to coagulation/flocculation and filtration; and d) LL submitted to coagulation/flocculation, filtration and photo-Fenton process.




Figure 3. Schematic diagram of the pretreatment processes and final airlift packing bioreactors.

2.4. Determination of pretreatment parameters

The removal efficiency of the pollutants was determined taking samples of LL in the recirculating system on the sample points and measuring the change of its chemical oxygen demand (COD), humic acid, heavy metals and toxicity. These parameters were determined along the different stages of the pretreatment in order to characterize the enhancing of the biodegradability before and after the biological treatment. All the samples were taken by triplicate. The COD of the LL was measured according to the EPA 410.4 methodology and Colorimetric Method, pH was measured using a pH meter (WTW 3150i unit), and humic acids were determined spectrophotometrically in an Optizen Pop spectrophotometer using an absorbance at 254 nm (ABS_{254}). Iron was analyzed with the EPA phenantroline method 315B, while total copper was determined using the Bicichoninate Acid Method (HI-93702-01).

To determine the microbial compost stability and activity (Villaseñor et al., 2011), which depends on the biodegradability and toxicity of the mixture of LL+compost in

the different APBRs, a respirometric test was carried out based on the oxygen uptake rate (OUR) of a mixture of liquid medium containing nutrients. The aeration and stirring of the suspension allowed oxygen to be adequately dispersed (Scaglia et al., 2011). The test was performed using a BM-T respirometer (Surcis S.L.), equipment constituted by a 1 L capacity vessel, equipped with a thermometer and an oxygen probe (Protos 3400, Knick Elektronische Messgeräte GmbH & v Co. KG). Fifty grams of LL+compost collected from the top of the APBR were shredded and homogenized in a stirrer and then placed in the vessel of the respirometer; 1 L of distilled water was added and the mix was homogenized, the temperature was set at 20°C and the system was continuously aerated and stirred (Scaglia et al., 2007). The reference sample was made up with 50 mL of distilled water with 0.5 g of sodium acetate per gram of volatile suspended solid (as a highly biodegradable compound). Fifty mL of the different samples were added to obtain the OUR. The pH of each sample was previously adjusted to 7 (Lo, 2010). Each assay continued until a plateau in the respiration of the sludge (R_s , mg/L·h) was obtained and the maximum respiration rate ($R_{s_{max}}$, mg/L·h) was achieved. The inhibition percentages (%I) were calculated using the following equation:

$$\%I = \left(1 - \frac{R_s}{R_{s_{max}}}\right) * 100 \quad (1)$$

Anova and Tukey HSD post hoc tests were done to evaluate significant differences between the different treatments, with a p-value of 0.05.

2.5. DNA extraction

We extracted DNA from adapted and non-adapted compost samples, as well as from samples from both types of compost which had been in contact with raw LL for 10 days in order to compare the composition of microbial communities before and after being in contact with LL. DNA extraction was performed using the DNeasy Power Soil Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA concentration and purity were measured using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Inc., Wilmington, DE) at 260 nm and 260/280 nm respectively. DNA extracts were conserved at -80°C for further analyses.

2.6. Amplicon sequencing

DNA was sequenced by RTL Genomics (Lubbock, TX, USA; <https://rtlgenomics.com>). Two primers were used to amplify bacterial and archaeal 16S rRNA gene: 515F-Y (GTGYCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA) and 926R: (CCGYCAATTYMTTTRAGTTT) (Parada et al., 2016). Illumina MiSeq 2x300 flow

cells was used. Sequence data from the MiSeq platform were quality filtered, trimmed, dereplicated, merged and, after a process of chimera removal, were clustered into amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) using the DADA2 Pipeline (Callahan et al., 2016). Afterwards, ASVs tabulation, and taxonomy assignment were performed using the Silva taxonomic database (v132). Sequences with unclassified genera or species with the Silva database were taxonomically identified by Blast (NCBI). Finally, the Phyloseq package was used to tabulate relative abundances at various taxonomic levels and to determine the microbial core community (McMurdie and Holmes, 2013). DADA2 and Phyloseq have been run as an R script (in R v.4.2.1) using R packages dada2 v.1.24.0, phyloseq v. 1.40.0 and ggplot2 v.3.3.6. Taxonomic identification was carried out by means of the tool Faprotax (Louca et al., 2016) to predict the functional composition of the microbial communities based on 16S rRNA marker gene profiles.

Sequence statistical analyses were performed using the R statistical software (R Core Team, 2021) and the packages *vegan* and *venneuler*. Alphadiversity analyses were performed using an ASVs abundance table that was previously subsampled down to the minimum number of reads in order to avoid artifacts due to an uneven sequencing effort among samples. For alphadiversity analyses, we calculated the Chao1 index as a measure of richness and the Shannon index as diversity metrics. Sequence data has been deposited in GenBank database with BioProject accession number PRJNA911052.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Parameters after LL pretreatment

The raw LL was submitted to different pretreatment processes (coagulation/flocculation, filtration and photo-Fenton process) and after each stage it was subject to a biological process in an APBR system. The main characteristics of the LL samples in the different stages of the pretreatment are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Landfill leachate (LL) characteristics.

	Stage treatment			
	Raw	Coag/Flocc	Filtration	Photo-Fenton
Parameter	Value			
COD (mg/L)	13780	11300	6890	3800

pH	8.85	8.82	8.83	2.95
Total Iron (mg/L)	82.3	94.1	43.5	28.1
ABS ₂₅₄	159.5	101.9	78.3	26.5
Copper (mg/L)	180.6	60.9	17.1	16.8
Humic acid (abs 254 nm)	159.2	101.6	78.0	28.1

Figure 4 shows the change in the concentration of copper, humic acid (ABS₂₅₄) and iron in the raw LL and submitted to a subsequent coagulation/flocculation, filtration and photo-Fenton processes before the biological treatment. A high removal of organic matter was observed in terms of COD and humic acids along the pretreatment process, with COD decreasing from 13780 to 11300 mg/L after coagulation/flocculation, from 11300 to 6890 mg/L after filtration, and from 6890 to 3800 mg/L due to photo-Fenton, achieving a global removal of a 72.4%. In the case of humic acids the trend was similar, going from 159.5 to 101.7 mg/L, from 101.7 to 78.0 mg/L and from 78.0 to 26.4 mg/L, due to coagulation/flocculation, filtration and photo-Fenton processes respectively, reaching a global removal of a 83.4%.




Figure 4. Change in the concentration of COD, copper, humic acids (ABS₂₅₄) and iron in the different stages of LL pretreatment. Error bars represent the standard deviations for three replicated measures (n = 3).

The removal of organic matter due to coagulation-flocculation could be explained by the agglomeration of particles in the LL suspension, including organic colloids (Cheng et al., 2020; Ibrahim and Yaser, 2019). The suspension is destabilized by the coagulant, and the flocculation occurs when compact flocs are formed and settled (Zahrim et al., 2018). The flocs that did not settled can be trapped in the filter, reducing the concentration of organic matter. On the other hand, the decrease of

organic matter in the photo-Fenton process can be due to the generation of the highly reactive hydroxyl radicals, that are non-selectively oxidant (Espinoza-Quiñones et al., 2019), as shown by equations 2 and 3 which allow the oxidation of the organic matter present in the LL and enhance its biodegradability, as previously reported (Poblete and Pérez, 2020).



Concerning the heavy metals analysed, the copper decreased from 180.3 to 60.5 mg/L after coagulation/flocculation, from 60.5 to 17.8 mg/L after filtration and from 17.5 to 16.8 mg/L due to the photo-Fenton process, obtaining a global removal of 91.1%. In the case of iron, its concentration changed from 82.0 to 94.1 mg/L, from 94.1 to 43.5 mg/L and from 43.5 to 28.1 mg/L, due to coagulation/flocculation, filtration and photo-Fenton processes, achieving a global removal of 65.8%. The increase of the concentration of iron after the coagulation/flocculation process is due to the addition of this metal in the process, concentration that was reduced after the filtration process. The removal of copper in the LL achieved by the coagulation/flocculation process, that used Fe(III) demonstrated the high performance of Fe(III), being in agreement with the data reported by Chu et al., (2020).

3.2. Parameters during LL biological treatment

The raw LL and the LL submitted to different pretreatments were placed in four different APBR with the aim of promote their contact with the compost along 10 days of experiment, and to evaluate the removal of pollutants as well as the effect of the different stages of the pretreatment. As can be observed in Figure 5 there is a differential removal of the pollutants due to the biological process into the APBR, depending on the stage of the pretreatments carried out. When LL without any pretreatment (raw LL) was incorporated in the biological process (Figure 5a) the change in COD varied from 13780 to 11870 mg/L, while copper decreased from 180.6 to 100 mg/L, and iron from 82.3 to 32 mg/L; in the case of humic acids, there was a slight increase from 159.5 to 163 ABS₂₅₄. When the LL was coagulated and flocculated and then submitted to the biologic process (Figure 5b), the COD diminished from 11300 to 9500 mg/L, while copper declined from 60.9 to 20.4 mg/L, and iron varied from 94.1 to 75.6 mg/L; humic acids increased again from 101.6 to 162.0 ABS₂₅₄. When the LL was submitted to coagulation/flocculation and filtration processes in the bioreactor (Figure 5c) the change in COD was from 6890 to 4800 mg/L, for copper from 17.1 to 8.3 mg/L, for iron from 43.5 to 35.4 mg/L and, in the case of humic acids from 78.8 to 205.6 ABS₂₅₄. When the LL was submitted to the whole pretreatment, (coagulation/flocculation, filtration plus photo-Fenton process)

in the biological system (Figure 5d), it was obtained a decrease in COD from 3800 to 1520 mg/L, for copper from 16.8 to 8.37 mg/L, and for iron from 28.1 to 15.4 mg/L, while for humic acids the value increased from 26.1 to 35.5 ABS₂₅₄.




Figure 5. Change in the concentration of different pollutants present in the LL submitted to the biological process without pretreatment (a) or after the different pretreatments (b: coagulation/flocculation, c: coagulation/flocculation + filtration, d: coagulation/flocculation + filtration + photo-Fenton). Error bars represent the standard deviation of the results (n = 3).

In general, there was an enhancement in the concentration of humic acids along time in the different bioreactors due to the action of the compost. Humic acids are organic substances rich in amino, hydroxyl and carboxyl groups, present in the compost due to the high concentration of lignin (Wang et al., 2022). They participate in the control of nutrients for microbial consortia and they also protect microorganisms to the action of hazardous compounds (Sun et al., 2022). Therefore, their presence in the compost promotes the removal of toxic material from the LL.

Concerning the removal of COD, the results showed significant differences, with F values higher than the tabulated number ($440.3 > 0.005$). The Tukey test indicated that there were significant differences between all the treatments evaluated. Also, significant differences were observed in the removal of humic acids, with F values higher than the tabulated result ($36.9 > 0.00004$). The Tukey test showed that there were significant differences between the treatments photo-Fenton and all the rest of the treatments including raw LL.

In the case of the variation of copper in the bioreactor after the different treatments, significant differences were obtained in this parameter, with F values higher than the tabulated number ($154.6 > 2.86$). The Tukey test showed that there were significant differences between all the treatments except photo-Fenton and filtration process.

Taking into consideration the change on the concentration of iron in the LL, the anova test shows that there were significant differences in this parameter, with F values higher than the tabulated number ($59.8 > 4.64 \times 10^{-14}$). The Tukey test showed that there were significant differences between all the treatments evaluated.

The percentage of removal of pollutants as COD, copper and iron present in the LL after the biological treatment is showed in Figure 6. The biological treatment that reached the highest removal of COD was the one which underwent coagulation/flocculation plus filtration plus photo-Fenton pretreatment processes, with an elimination value of 60.0%. A similar trend was observed with iron, which achieved a removal of 46.5%. In contrast, for copper the highest elimination was accomplished with LL pretreated with the coagulation/flocculation process, with a value of 56.3%.




Figure 6. Removal of pollutants (%) present in LL treated in the APBRs, using a) raw LL, or different pretreatment processes: b) Coag/Floc, c) filtration and d) photo-Fenton).

According to the respirometric tests carried out using sludges, the raw LL was toxic and this trend decreased along the pretreatments of LL. Inhibition values of 41.8%, 27.5%, 22.0% and 12.1% were obtained for raw, coagulation/flocculation, filtration and photo-Fenton processes respectively. These results agree with previous findings from our research group (Poblete and Pérez, 2020), where a decrease in the toxicity along the treatments of the LL was reported. This reduction in the inhibition of sludge in the presence of the LL+compost mixture is due to the

pretreatment and the biological process, which promoted the removal of toxic compounds from the wastewater, such as the adsorption of copper (Pennanen et al., 2020) and the oxidation of complex organic matter by hydroxyl radicals (He et al., 2022). Additionally, the use of UV light and a photocatalyst enhanced the reduction of toxicity of the solution (Zong et al., 2021).

3.3. Operational costs

Table 2 shows the operational costs of the evaluated treatment, considering the expenses of the reagents as well as the costs of the electric power consumption by the equipment used in the different experiments. It should be considered that experiment *a* (raw LL) has the less reagent and total costs, as expected, since it is not a pretreatment and has the lowest removal of pollutants (Figure 6). In contrast, experiment *d*, which considers all the pretreatments, has the highest values of operational costs, specially due to the use of reagents, although it achieves the best levels of iron and copper removal, allowing a less inhibition of the sludge in the respirometric test. It is a quite interesting result, because copper present in LL is considered an harmful substance, hazardous to the aquatic environment (Wdowczyk and Szymańska-Pulikowska, 2021) and which affects negatively to microorganisms (Kwak et al., 2020).

Table 2. Operational costs of the different treatments carried out.

Exp	Operational costs (\$US/m ³)		
	Reagent	Energy	Total
a (raw LL and biologic)	3.8	22.2	26.0
b (coag/floc and biologic)	34.0	22.3	56.3
c (coag/floc, filtr and biologic)	34.0	22.3	56.3
d (coag/floc, filtr, photo-Fenton and biologic)	79.8	23.7	103.5

Also, it is possible to observe that operational costs associated to energy consumption were similar for all the experiments, since these runs were carried out in the APBR, that used aeration for 10 days. Although the power of the air pump was small (10 W), its prolonged use over time implied a relative high energy consumption, being the energy spent by the aeration process more than 50% of the total energy consumed in the biological treatment (McCarty et al., 2011). It has been reported that aeration allows the enrichment of heterotrophic denitrifying bacteria and the removal of nitrogen and organic matter (Song et al., 2020). Also, the utilization of the APBR allows an effective suspension of solids, high mass transfer, low shear stress

to microorganisms able for biological processes, and the absence of stagnant volumes into this reactor (Mirghorayshi et al., 2020).

3.4. Microbial community structure and predicted metabolisms in adapted and non-adapted compost samples

In order to improve our knowledge about the composition of the microbial assemblages in compost samples and their possible role in pollutants removal from LL, we analysed samples from both an adapted and a non-adapted compost before and after being in contact with the raw leachate on the basis of the 16S rRNA gene sequence. After a rigorous quality control (see Materials and Methods), a total of 443,640 sequences passed quality filtering. On average, 73,940 sequences were obtained per sample (ranging from 44,031 to 105,511). At this sequencing depth, rarefaction curves revealed a reasonable coverage of bacterial richness (Figure S1). Observed richness (number of Amplicon Sequence Variants or ASVs) varied between 749 and 1,901 (Table 3). These values were similar to those obtained from the richness estimate index (Chao1), which ranged from 953 to 2072. Despite variation in ASVs number, no significant differences were found between samples in richness indices (Kruskal-Wallis and Wilcoxon's test with Bonferroni correction, $p > 0.05$). Shannon's diversity index varied from 3.33 to 5.95, showing usual diversity values for microbial communities (Feranchuk et al., 2018). In this case, significant differences were found between samples, showing lower diversity values without preadaptation and without contact to the LL than those which were previously adapted or exposed to the LL (one-way ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc test, $p < 0.05$).

Table 3. Alphadiversity from the microbial communities of the studied compost samples.

Sample	Observed	Chao1	Shannon
Adapted & No LL	1647	1960.84	5.59
Adapted & LL	1327	1791.55	4.69
Non- adapted & No LL	749	952.55	3.33*
Non-adapted & LL	1901	2072.23	5.95

* significant differences in Shannon index ($p < 0.05$)

Bacteroidota, *Proteobacteria*, *Firmicutes*, *Spirochaetota* and *Deinococcota* were the most abundant phyla in the studied samples (Figure 7). These five phyla were found in all samples, being present in the 50 most abundant ASVs of the study, which represented 56.5% of the total analysed sequences and were considered as our core community (Neu et al., 2021).




Figure 7. Relative abundance of each phylum in the microbial community from adapted and non-adapted compost samples before (no LL) and after (LL) being exposed to raw LL

Interestingly, the most representative phylum in samples which had been in contact with leachate in some moment (i.e. preadaptation or treatment of LL) was *Bacteroidota* (Figure 8). This phylum utilizes complex organic substrates in aquatic environments, being able to degrade recalcitrant compounds. It was previously found in studies from LL (Gabarró et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2022). Nevertheless, in the compost sample not preadapted to leachate, *Spirochaetota* and *Firmicutes* were more abundant than *Bacteroidota*. Both phyla, *Spirochaetota* and *Firmicutes*, were previously described in microbial communities from compost of organic waste or from rice straw (Tanahashi et al., 2005; Galitskaya et al., 2017).





Figure 8. Taxonomy of the *core* community. Data refer to the different microbial communities from adapted and non-adapted compost samples before (no LL) and after (LL) being exposed to raw LL. a) Relative abundance of each phylum in the most abundant 50 ASVs b) Relative abundance of genus belonging to different phyla from the main 50 ASVs. Bold letters label the most representative genera from the microbial community.

At genus level, the relative abundance of some ASVs presented differences between samples, and the final composition of the microbial community appeared to depend on the preadaptation or not of the compost samples. In the case of the preadapted compost to LL, the main genus was *Dysgomonas* (34% of sequences of *core* community). However, when the sample was exposed to raw LL, *Dysgomonas* became less abundant (until 0.1% of sequences) and another genus that was not predominant at the beginning turned into the representative bacteria of the community. Other genera that underwent significant changes in abundance before and after being exposed to LL were *Herbinix* (from < 0.1% of sequences before the contact of raw LL to 21% of sequences after the treatment), *Proteiniphilum* and *Sphaerochaeta* (Figure 8). *Herbinix*, and concretely *Herbinix luporum* was described as a cellulose degrading bacterium able to metabolize lignocellulose to acetate, ethanol, and propionic acid in different biogas reactors (Koeck et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2017). In these kind of reactors, previous to the digestion process of the substrate, pollutants were frequently found (i.e. nitrogen compounds and heavy metals) (Rahman et al., 2021). Moreover, *Proteiniphilum* sp. was also usually detected in biogas reactors. This genus has been reported as strict anaerobes that use several macromolecular organic molecules as carbon and energy sources and produce acetic acid (Dang et al., 2013). *Proteiniphilum* sp. has been recently isolated from anaerobic digesters treating brewery wastewater or maize silage and wheat straw, as well as from municipal solid waste landfill (Orellana et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2022). Thus, the most representative genera of our microbial community after being preadapted and exposed to raw LL, showed a tolerance to different complex substrates, being involved in the decrease of COD and metals concentration of the raw LL.

A similar trend in the core microbial community was observed between compost samples without preadaptation before and after the contact to raw LL. In this case, *Sphaerochaeta* (37% of sequences of *core* community) and *Asteroleplasma* (26% of sequences) were the dominant genera in the microbial assemblage of the non-adapted compost before being in contact with LL. After the treatment of compost with landfill leachate, the genera *Pseudomonas* (from 0.3% of to 23%) and *Chryseolinea* (from <0.1% of to 19%), underwent a substantial abundance increase. *Asteroleplasma* almost disappeared after the treatment, while *Sphaerochaeta*, though decreased its relative abundance, remained on the 5 most abundant genus of the community (Figure 8). *Pseudomonas* strains are frequently reported as

exhibiting a great metabolic versatility and could survive in different ecological niches including contaminated environments (Rojo, 2010). *Pseudomonas* sp. is a microorganism that can naturally produce extracellular polysaccharide (EPS). EPS structures support the sequestration of metal ions and prevent them from penetrating into the cell surface or being released in the environment (Imron et al., 2021). *Pseudomonas putida* has been previously showed to have heavy metal resistance genes and the ability to biodegrade phenolic LL (Paliwal et al., 2014; Michalska et al., 2020). *Pseudomonas parafulva* and *Pseudomonas putida*, which are closely related between them, were the main species in the compost sample in contact to LL. On the other hand, *Chryseolinea* has been reported to be an aerobic chemotrophic bacterium (Kim et al., 2013) that could remove nutrients from water. Bacteria belonging to this genus were found in different reactors treating landfill leachate and were mainly related to nitrogen and phosphorous cycles (Xu et al., 2018; Mu et al., 2022), suggesting a great ability to adapt to complex environments.

Finally, *Sphaerochaeta* was a genus present in all samples after the contact with raw LL. *Sphaerochaeta* is a strictly fermentative genus which produces volatile fatty acids, ethanol, hydrogen, and CO₂ by fermenting complex organic compounds (Zhou et al., 2017). Moreover, *Sphaerochaeta* has been previously reported as the predominant bacteria in landfill leachate (Wong et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2021; Nimonkar et al., 2022), showing high resilience after the exposure to adverse conditions.

On the other hand, on the basis of our 16S rRNA sequences, we utilized the tool Faprotax in order to perform a prediction of the main potential metabolisms ruling the microbial communities of adapted and non-adapted compost samples before and after their exposition with raw LL. Our results showed that the metabolisms related to chemoheterotrophy, fermentation and the nitrogen cycle were the most relevant in all samples (Table S2). Furthermore, in samples exposed to raw LL from adapted and non-adapted composts, the most abundant metabolisms were related to anaerobic pathways, which might play important roles in degrading complex organic molecules into easily degradable substances (Zhao et al., 2021). Particularly, samples from non-adapted composts were characterized by routes related to nitrogen and methane metabolisms (Figure 9), a trend that has already been observed in composting processes (Hoang et al., 2022). However, when these samples were exposed to raw LL those metabolisms were lost and were enriched with routes related to sulfur cycle, which has been previously associated to the presence of iron (Long et al., 2016), as well as to plastic, hydrocarbon and aromatic compounds degradation, and ligninolysis and cellulolysis metabolisms, implying high activities of carbon-related metabolisms and the biotransformation of organic substances (Toledo et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2021). In contrast, in the preadapted compost sample all these last metabolisms were found from the very beginning and

once it was in contact with raw LL, the prevailing pathways related to hydrocarbon degradation. In summary, both phylogenetic and metabolic results showed that, after being exposed to raw LL, microbial communities were enriched with groups able to use recalcitrant material indifferently if the biological sample was preadapted to LL or not, thus being optimized the DOC removal and the degradation of complex compounds and heavy metals with a minimal cost.




Figure 9. Main representative metabolisms in microbial communities from adapted and non-adapted compost samples before (no LL) and after (LL) being exposed to raw LL. The diameter of the circles represents the prevalence of each metabolism in the sample.

4. Conclusions

The different pretreatments carried out allowed a high removal of organic matter and heavy metals in the LL. The removal of organic matter was observed along all the pretreatments, although in the case of copper and iron, the removal was especially due to the coagulation/flocculation and filtration processes. The contact of LL with the compost produced an enhancement of humic acids along time in the bioreactors, which stimulates the removal of toxic material in this polluted water. The biological treatment with compost reached a higher removal of COD and iron when coagulation/flocculation plus filtration plus photo-Fenton as pretreatment processes were performed. On the other hand, the highest removal for copper was obtained when the LL was pretreated with the coagulation/flocculation and the biological processes. In summary, the toxicity of the raw LL was reduced by the action of the pretreatments and the biological treatment carried out, due to the removal of toxic compounds, such as copper and recalcitrant organic matter.

The biological process with raw LL had the lowest costs, but when this process was applied to a LL submitted to all the pretreatments, the operational costs raised due to the increase of reagents. Otherwise, the energy consumption was similar for all the experiments, since all of them were realized in an aerated bioreactor.

Concerning the microbial community, it was able to adapt to raw LL, showing a high tolerance and resilience to toxic conditions, either in the compost previously in contact with LL or not, though this item could affect the species composition of the population. However, the genus *Sphaerochaeta* was able to maintain in all the studied conditions. The prediction of microbial metabolisms also showed a remarkable ability from microorganisms to remove and metabolize different complex and toxic substances.

Thus, we can conclude that the application of this airlift bioreactor allows an effective removal of pollutants in the LL with a minimal cost.

5. Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank to the Chilean Ministry of Education and its Regular Fondecyt N° 1210841, for the financial support and the Central Laboratory for Marine Aquaculture of the Marine Sciences Department of the Universidad Católica del Norte for equipment support. Elena H. del Amo is a Margarita Salas fellow from the Spanish Government (REQ2021).

References

- Adenipekun C, Lawal RAsadi, A., Zinatizadeh, A.A., van Loosdrecht, M., 2017. Effects of operational models (batch, continuous and CFID modes) on the performance of a single A2O airlift bioreactor for treatment of milk processing wastewater. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2017.08.004>
- Bai, F., Tian, H., Ma, J., 2020. Landfill leachate treatment through the combination of genetically engineered bacteria *Rhodococcus erythropolis* expressing Nirs and AMO and membrane filtration processes. *Environmental Pollution*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114061>
- Bu, G., Xian, P., Zhan, L., Feng, X., Tang, H., 2016. Optimizing operating conditions for advanced treatment of landfill leachate using the coagulation-fenton oxidation method. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies* 25, 1863–1871. <https://doi.org/10.15244/pjoes/63067>
- Callahan, B.J., McMurdie, P.J., Rosen, M.J., Han, A.W., Johnson, A.J.A., Holmes, S.P., 2016. DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat Methods* 13, 581–583. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3869>
- Cerda, A., Artola, A., Font, X., Barrena, R., Gea, T., Sánchez, A., 2018. Composting of food wastes: Status and challenges. *Bioresource Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.06.133>
- Cheng, S.Y., Show, P.L., Juan, J.C., Ling, T.C., Lau, B.F., Lai, S.H., Ng, E.P., 2020. Sustainable landfill leachate treatment: Optimize use of guar gum as natural coagulant and floc characterization. *Environmental Research* 188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.109737>
- Chu, D., Ye, Z.L., Chen, S., 2020. Interactions among low-molecular-weight organics, heavy metals, and Fe(III) during coagulation of landfill leachate nanofiltration concentrate. *Waste Management* 104, 51–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2020.01.015>
- Dang, Y., Ye, J., Mu, Y., Qiu, B., Sun, D., 2013. Effective anaerobic treatment of fresh leachate from MSW incineration plant and dynamic characteristics of microbial community in granular sludge. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 97, 10563–10574. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-013-4792-2>
- De, S., Hazra, T., Dutta, A., 2019. Sustainable treatment of municipal landfill leachate by combined association of air stripping, Fenton oxidation, and enhanced coagulation. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-018-7171-8>
- Di Iaconi, C., Ramadori, R., Lopez, A., 2006. Combined biological and chemical degradation for treating a mature municipal landfill leachate. *Biochemical Engineering Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2006.06.002>
- Espinoza-Quiñones, F.R., Góes Trigueros, D.E., Giordani da Costa, S.I., Colombo, A., Módenes, A.N., Borba, F.H., 2019. Treatment of sanitary landfill leachate by the combination of photo-Fenton and biological processes. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 214, 145–153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.12.310>
- Feranchuk, S., Belkova, N., Potapova, U., Kuzmin, D., Belikov, S., 2018. Evaluating the use of diversity indices to distinguish between microbial

- communities with different traits. *Research in Microbiology* 169, 254–261.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resmic.2018.03.004>
- Gabarró, J., Hernández-del Amo, E., Gich, F., Rusalleda, M., Balaguer, M.D., Colprim, J., 2013. Nitrous oxide reduction genetic potential from the microbial community of an intermittently aerated partial nitrification SBR treating mature landfill leachate. *Water Research* 47, 7066–7077.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.07.057>
- Galitskaya, P., Biktasheva, L., Saveliev, A., Grigoryeva, T., Boulygina, E., Selivanovskaya, S., 2017. Fungal and bacterial successions in the process of co-composting of organic wastes as revealed by 454 pyrosequencing. *PLoS One* 12, e0186051. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186051>
- Gholami, F., Zinatizadeh, A.A., Zinadini, S., McKay, T., Sibali, L., 2020. An innovative jet loop-airlift bioreactor for simultaneous removal of carbon and nitrogen from soft drink industrial wastewater: Process performance and kinetic evaluation. *Environmental Technology and Innovation*.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2020.100772>
- Hassan, M., Zhao, Y., Xie, B., 2016. Employing TiO₂ photocatalysis to deal with landfill leachate: Current status and development. *Chemical Engineering Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2015.09.093>
- Hoang, H.G., Thuy, B.T.P., Lin, C., Vo, D.-V.N., Tran, H.T., Bahari, M.B., Le, V.G., Vu, C.T., 2022. The nitrogen cycle and mitigation strategies for nitrogen loss during organic waste composting: A review. *Chemosphere* 300, 134514.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.134514>
- Ibrahim, A., Yaser, A.Z., 2019. Colour removal from biologically treated landfill leachate with tannin-based coagulant. *Journal of Environmental Chemical Engineering* 7, 103483. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2019.103483>
- Imron, M.F., Kurniawan, S.B., Abdullah, S.R.S., 2021. Resistance of bacteria isolated from leachate to heavy metals and the removal of Hg by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain FZ-2 at different salinity levels in a batch biosorption system. *Sustainable Environment Research* 31, 14.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s42834-021-00088-6>
- Kim, J.-J., Alkawally, M., Brady, A.L., Rijpstra, W.I.C., Sinninghe Damsté, J.S., Dunfield, P.F., 2013. *Chryseolinea serpens* gen. nov., sp. nov., a member of the phylum Bacteroidetes isolated from soil. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 63, 654–660. <https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.039404-0>
- Klein, K., Kivi, A., Dulova, N., Zekker, I., Mölder, E., Tenno, Toomas, Trapido, M., Tenno, Taavo, 2017. A pilot study of three-stage biological–chemical treatment of landfill leachate applying continuous ferric sludge reuse in Fenton-like process. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy*.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10098-016-1245-5>
- Koeck, D.E., Maus, I., Wibberg, D., Winkler, A., Zverlov, V.V., Liebl, W., Pühler, A., Schwarz, W.H., Schlüter, A., 2016. Complete Genome Sequence of *Herbinix luporum* SD1D, a New Cellulose-Degrading Bacterium Isolated from a Thermophilic Biogas Reactor. *Genome Announc* 4, e00687-16.
<https://doi.org/10.1128/genomeA.00687-16>
- Kuppusamy, S., Thavamani, P., Venkateswarlu, K., Lee, Y.B., Naidu, R., Megharaj, M., 2017. Remediation approaches for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

- (PAHs) contaminated soils: Technological constraints, emerging trends and future directions. *Chemosphere*.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2016.10.115>
- Kwak, J. Il, Nam, S.H., Kim, L., An, Y.J., 2020. Potential environmental risk of solar cells: Current knowledge and future challenges. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 392, 122297. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JHAZMAT.2020.122297>
- Li, R., Wang, J.J., Zhang, Z., Shen, F., Zhang, G., Qin, R., Li, X., Xiao, R., 2012. Nutrient transformations during composting of pig manure with bentonite. *Bioresource Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2012.06.065>
- Liu, T., Sun, L., Müller, B., Schnürer, A., 2017. Importance of inoculum source and initial community structure for biogas production from agricultural substrates. *Bioresource Technology* 245, 768–777.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2017.08.213>
- Liu, Z., Dang, Y., Li, C., Sun, D., 2015. Inhibitory effect of high NH₄⁺-N concentration on anaerobic biotreatment of fresh leachate from a municipal solid waste incineration plant. *Waste Management*.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2015.06.031>
- Lo, J.L.C., 2010. Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety A comparative study of different tests for biodegradability enhancement determination during AOP treatment of recalcitrant toxic aqueous solutions 73, 1189–1195.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2010.07.021>
- Long, Y.-Y., Du, Y., Fang, Y., Xu, J., He, Y.-N., Shen, D.-S., 2016. Effect of migration and transformation of iron on the endogenous reduction of H₂S in anaerobic landfill. *Waste Management* 53, 76–81.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2015.11.008>
- Louca, S., Parfrey, L.W., Doebeli, M., 2016. Decoupling function and taxonomy in the global ocean microbiome. *Science* 353, 1272–1277.
<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf4507>
- Luo, G., Li, L., Friman, V.P., Guo, J., Guo, S., Shen, Q., Ling, N., 2018. Organic amendments increase crop yields by improving microbe-mediated soil functioning of agroecosystems: A meta-analysis. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.06.002>
- McCarty, P.L., Bae, J., Kim, J., 2011. Domestic wastewater treatment as a net energy producer-can this be achieved? *Environmental Science and Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es2014264>
- McMurdie, P.J., Holmes, S., 2013. phyloseq: An R Package for Reproducible Interactive Analysis and Graphics of Microbiome Census Data. *PLOS ONE* 8, e61217. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0061217>
- Mendes, C.E., Badino, A.C., 2015. Oxygen transfer in different pneumatic bioreactors containing viscous Newtonian fluids. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cherd.2014.09.002>
- Miao, L., Yang, G., Tao, T., Peng, Y., 2019. Recent advances in nitrogen removal from landfill leachate using biological treatments – A review. *Journal of Environmental Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.01.057>
- Michalska, J., Piński, A., Żur, J., Mroziński, A., 2020. Analysis of the Bioaugmentation Potential of *Pseudomonas putida* OR45a and *Pseudomonas putida* KB3 in

- the Sequencing Batch Reactors Fed with the Phenolic Landfill Leachate. *Water* 12, 906. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w12030906>
- Mirghorayshi, M., Akbar, A., Loosdrecht, M. Van, 2020. Simultaneous biodegradability enhancement and high-efficient nitrogen removal in an innovative single stage anaerobic / anoxic / aerobic hybrid airlift bioreactor (HALBR) for composting leachate treatment : Process modeling and optimization. *Chemical Engineering Journal* 127019. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.127019>
- Mota, A., Ferreira, A., Vicente, A.A., Sechet, P., Martins, J.M.F., Teixeira, J.A., Cartellier, A., 2015. Customization of an optical probe device and validation of a signal processing procedure to study gas-liquid-solid flows. Application to a three-phase internal-loop gas-lift Bioreactor. *Chemical Engineering Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2015.08.046>
- Mu, S., Chen, X., Song, B., Wu, C., Li, Q., 2022. Enhanced performance and mechanism of the combined process of ozonation and a semiaerobic aged refuse biofilter for mature landfill leachate treatment. *Chemosphere* 308, 136432. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.136432>
- Neu, A.T., Allen, E.E., Roy, K., 2021. Defining and quantifying the core microbiome: Challenges and prospects. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 118, e2104429118. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2104429118>
- Nimonkar, Y.S., Kajale, S., Dake, M., Ranade, D.R., Yadav, K.K., Kumar, R., Prakash, O., 2022. A culture-based and culture-independent approach to the study of landfill leachate bacterial and archaeal communities. *Anaerobe* 77, 102626. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2022.102626>
- Orellana, E., Guerrero, L.D., Davies-Sala, C., Altina, M., Pontiggia, R.M., Erijman, L., 2022. Extracellular hydrolytic potential drives microbiome shifts during anaerobic co-digestion of sewage sludge and food waste. *Bioresource Technology* 343, 126102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2021.126102>
- Paliwal, V., Raju, S.C., Modak, A., Phale, P.S., Purohit, H.J., 2014. *Pseudomonas putida* CSV86: A Candidate Genome for Genetic Bioaugmentation. *PLOS ONE* 9, e84000. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0084000>
- Poblete, R., Cortes, E., Bakit, J., Luna-Galiano, Y., 2020. Use of fish scales as an adsorbent of organic matter present in the treatment of landfill leachate. *Journal of Chemical Technology and Biotechnology*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jctb.6349>
- Poblete, R., Cortes, E., Bakit, J., Luna-Galiano, Y., 2019. Landfill leachate treatment using combined fish scales based activated carbon and solar advanced oxidation processes. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection* 123, 253–262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psep.2019.01.017>
- Poblete, R., Pérez, N., 2020. Use of sawdust as pretreatment of photo-Fenton process in the depuration of landfill leachate. *Journal of Environmental Management* 253. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.109697>
- Rahman, M.S., Hoque, M.N., Puspo, J.A., Islam, M.R., Das, N., Siddique, M.A., Hossain, M.A., Sultana, M., 2021. Microbiome signature and diversity regulates the level of energy production under anaerobic condition. *Sci Rep* 11, 19777. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-99104-3>

- Ren, X., Zeng, G., Tang, L., Wang, Jingjing, Wan, J., Wang, Jiajia, Deng, Y., Liu, Y., Peng, B., 2018. The potential impact on the biodegradation of organic pollutants from composting technology for soil remediation. *Waste Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2017.11.032>
- Rojo, F., 2010. Carbon catabolite repression in *Pseudomonas*: optimizing metabolic versatility and interactions with the environment. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews* 34, 658–684. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2010.00218.x>
- Scaglia, B., Acutis, M., Adani, F., 2011. Precision determination for the dynamic respirometric index (DRI) method used for biological stability evaluation on municipal solid waste and derived products. *Waste Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.2010.08.024>
- Scaglia, B., Erriquens, F.G., Gigliotti, G., Taccari, M., 2007. Precision determination for the specific oxygen uptake rate (SOUR) method used for biological stability evaluation of compost and biostabilized products 98, 706–713. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2006.01.021>
- Song, J., Zhang, W., Gao, J., Hu, X., Zhang, C., He, Q., Yang, F., Wang, H., Wang, X., Zhan, X., 2020. A pilot-scale study on the treatment of landfill leachate by a composite biological system under low dissolved oxygen conditions: Performance and microbial community. *Bioresource Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2019.122344>
- Sun, S., Abdellah, Y.A.Y., Miao, L., Wu, B., Ma, T., Wang, Y., Zang, H., Zhao, X., Li, C., 2022. Impact of microbial inoculants combined with humic acid on the fate of estrogens during pig manure composting under low-temperature conditions. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 424, 127713. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JHAZMAT.2021.127713>
- Tanahashi, T., Murase, J., Matsuya, K., Hayashi, M., Kimura, M., Asakawa, S., 2005. Bacterial Communities Responsible for the Decomposition of Rice Straw Compost in a Japanese Rice Paddy Field Estimated by DGGE Analysis of Amplified 16S rDNA and 16S rRNA Fragments. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 51, 351–360. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-0765.2005.tb00040.x>
- Toledo, M., Gutiérrez, M.C., Siles, J.A., García-Olmo, J., Martín, M.A., 2017. Chemometric analysis and NIR spectroscopy to evaluate odorous impact during the composting of different raw materials. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 167, 154–162. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.08.163>
- Tombola, R., Buttiglieri, G., Auset, M., Gonzalez-Olmos, R., 2019. Recycled corrugated wire hose cover as biological carriers for greywater treatment in a sequential batch biofilm reactor. *Journal of Environmental Management* 240, 475–484. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JENVMAN.2019.02.116>
- Villaseñor, J., Pérez, M.A., Fernández, F.J., Puchalski, C.M., 2011. Bioresource Technology Monitoring respiration and biological stability during sludge composting with a modified dynamic respirometer 102, 6562–6568. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2011.03.080>
- Wang, G., Yang, Y., Kong, Y., Ma, R., Yuan, J., Li, G., 2022. Key factors affecting seed germination in phytotoxicity tests during sheep manure composting

- with carbon additives. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 421, 126809.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JHAZMAT.2021.126809>
- Warmeling, H., Behr, A., Vorholt, A.J., 2016. Jet loop reactors as a versatile reactor set up - Intensifying catalytic reactions: A review. *Chemical Engineering Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2016.04.032>
- Wdowczyk, A., Szymańska-Pulikowska, A., 2021. Analysis of the possibility of conducting a comprehensive assessment of landfill leachate contamination using physicochemical indicators and toxicity test. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety* 221, 112434.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ECOENV.2021.112434>
- Wong, Y.M., Show, P.L., Wu, T.Y., Leong, H.Y., Ibrahim, S., Juan, J.C., 2019. Production of bio-hydrogen from dairy wastewater using pretreated landfill leachate sludge as an inoculum. *Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering* 127, 150–159. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiosc.2018.07.012>
- Xu, J., He, J., Wang, M., Li, L., 2018. Cultivation and stable operation of aerobic granular sludge at low temperature by sieving out the batt-like sludge. *Chemosphere* 211, 1219–1227.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.08.018>
- Xu, P., Wei, Y., Ma, C., Li, S., Guo, T., Wang, X., Li, W., 2020. Multi-factorial analysis of the removal of dichloromethane and toluene in an airlift packing bioreactor. *Journal of Environmental Management*.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2019.109665>
- Yadav, D., Singh, N.K., Pruthi, V., Kumar, P., 2020. Ensuring sustainability of conventional aerobic wastewater treatment system via bio-augmentation of aerobic bacterial consortium: An enhanced biological phosphorus removal approach. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 262, 121328.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCLEPRO.2020.121328>
- Yanto, D.H.Y., Tachibana, S., 2013. Biodegradation of petroleum hydrocarbons by a newly isolated *Pestalotiopsis* sp. NG007. *International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2013.09.008>
- Zahrim, A.Y., Azreen, I., Jie, S.S., Yoiking, C., Felijia, J., Hasmilah, H., Gloriana, C., Khairunis, I., 2018. 11 -Nanoparticles Enhanced Coagulation of Biologically Digested Leachate, in: *Nanotechnology in Water and Wastewater Treatment: Theory and Applications*. Elsevier, pp. 205–241.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813902-8.00011-3>
- Zhang, F., Peng, Y., Wang, S., Wang, Z., Jiang, H., 2019. Efficient step-feed partial nitrification, simultaneous Anammox and denitrification (SPNAD) equipped with real-time control parameters treating raw mature landfill leachate. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2018.09.066>
- Zhao, R., Liu, J., Feng, J., Li, X., Li, B., 2021. Microbial community composition and metabolic functions in landfill leachate from different landfills of China. *Science of The Total Environment* 767, 144861.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144861>
- Zhou, R., Liu, X., Luo, L., Zhou, Y., Wei, J., Chen, A., Tang, L., Wu, H., Deng, Y., Zhang, F., Wang, Y., 2017. Remediation of Cu, Pb, Zn and Cd-contaminated agricultural soil using a combined red mud and compost

amendment. International Biodeterioration and Biodegradation.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibiod.2017.01.023>